## Instructions / Notes for 2021-22 Accountability Plan Progress Report ("APPR")

1. In order to fulfill the requirement in the Charter Schools Act that each charter school in New York report its progress toward meeting academic goals annually, schools must report on student achievement and progress towards the goal areas included in their Accountability Plans. The calculation of some metrics remains paused for 2021-22 and is clearly indicated in the template below. Where applicable, the SUNY Charter Schools Institute (the "Institute") has provided modified guidance on how and what schools should report under each section.
2. Charter schools with Accountability Plans that contain additional measures beyond the required measures and/or conditions on renewal should report on these under the "Additional Content and Evidence" sections for each goal area.
3. The deadline for submission of the APPR is September 15, 2022. Schools with extenuating circumstances may request an extension as necessary. As it does every year, the Institute will validate and post the finalized APPRs onto its website.
4. Text Highlighted in Grey = explanation or guidance for an entry. As guidance, schools should remove the existing text entirely and replace it with information to complete the report.
5. Schools serving students in $9^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ grades additionally submit a student-level data file as part of the required annual reporting to the Institute. These data should align to and corroborate the high school achievement outcomes reported in the APPR. For example, the number of students included in the 2018 Total Cohort for Graduation and the 2021-22 four-year graduation rate reported in this document should be able to be calculated from this high school data submission.
6. Please do not include these instructions or the reference guide below in a submitted report.

## REFERENCE GUIDE TO TEMPLATE SECTIONS

Page
INTRODUCTION ..... 1
HIGH SCHOOL ONLY GOALS ..... 7
ESSA GOAL ..... 37

The Accountability Plan Progress Report Template Is Below. Delete all information above before submitting.
INTERNATIONAL
LEADERSHIP CHARTER HIGH
SCHOOL
2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY
PLAN PROGRESS REPORT
Submitted to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute on:
By Dr. Elaine Ruiz Lopez
Chief Executive Officer
3030 Riverdale Avenue
Bronx, NY 10463
(718)562-2300

Enter Name(s) and Title(s) prepared this 2021-22 Accountability Progress Report on behalf of the charter school's board of trustees:

| Trustee's Name | Board Position |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Office (e.g. chair, treasurer, <br> secretary) | Committees (e.g. finance, <br> executive) |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |
| Name | Office | Committees |

Dr. Elaine Ruiz Lopez has served as the Founder and CEO for the International Leadership Charter High School since the school's first year in the fall of 2006.

## SCHOOL OVERVIEW

Narrative description of the school, e.g., mission, when it opened, what grades served, number of students, demographic characteristics of students, etc. The description may also include key design elements or other unique aspects of the school program. In addition, this description should include a summary of the modalities of instruction used during the 2021-22 school year (i.e., was there any period of remote or hybrid instruction) and any notable changes to the charter's academic program - especially those designed to accelerate learning in the midst of disruptions to learning caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Finally, in recognition of the tremendous efforts schools continue to make to sustain students' and families' social, mental, and emotional health, please include an overview of these supports that the school put in place during the year.

The International Leadership Charter High School (hereafter known as International Leadership), the first public charter high school in the Bronx, opened its doors in September of 2006. Since its founding, International Leadership has delivered an academically rigorous curriculum aimed at elevating students' intellectual capacity and preparing students for a higher education. We seek to expand students' knowledge of international studies and to cultivate their leadership skills with a commitment to community service, social action and civic participation in a global society. Our mission is to change lives and transform communities, one scholar at a time.

As part of its mission, International Leadership provides Latino, African-American, and children of immigrants from various ethnic communities living in or below poverty with an exceptional college preparatory curriculum sequence. Historically, over 75 percent of students at International Leadership are economically disadvantaged and more than 80 percent are the first in their families to attend college.

## Percent of Students Enrolled in College at Any Time During the First Two Years After High School



## ENROLLMENT SUMMARY

In the table below, provide the school's BEDS Day enrollment for each school year.

School Enrollment by Grade Level and School Year

| School <br> Year | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Tota <br> । |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | 96 | 90 | 82 | 80 | 348 |
| $2018-19$ | 119 | 80 | 76 | 80 | 355 |
| $2019-20$ | 136 | 105 | 66 | 69 | 376 |
| $2020-21$ | 103 | 97 | 80 | 63 | 343 |
| $2021-22$ | 131 | 96 | 80 | 73 | 380 |

## HIGH SCHOOL COHORTS

## ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT

The state's Accountability Cohort consists specifically of students who are in their fourth year of high school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. For example, the 2018 state Accountability Cohort consists of students who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade anywhere sometime during the 2018-19 school year, were enrolled in the school on the state's annual enrollment-determination day (BEDS day) in the 202122 school year, and either remained in the school for the rest of the year or left for an acceptable reason. (See New York State Education Department's SIRS Manual for more details about cohort eligibility and acceptable exit reasons: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/ht)

The following table indicates the number of students in the Accountability Cohorts who are in their fourth year of high school and were enrolled at the school on BEDS Day in October and remained in the school until June $30^{\text {th }}$ of that year.

| Fourth-Year High School Accountability Cohorts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth <br> Year <br> Cohort | Year Entered <br> $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> Anywhere | Cohort <br> Designatio <br> n | Number of Students <br> Enrolled on BEDS Day in <br> October of the Cohort's <br> Fourth Year | Number <br> Leaving <br> During the <br> School Year | Number in <br> Accountability <br> Cohort as of <br> June 30th |
| $2019-20$ | $2016-17$ | 2016 | 68 | $1^{*}$ | 83 |
| $2020-21$ | $2017-18$ | 2017 | 67 | 0 | 67 |
| $2021-22$ | $2018-19$ | 2018 | 78 | 0 | 78 |

## TOTAL COHORT FOR GRADUATION

Students are also included in the Total Cohort for Graduation (referred to as the Graduation Cohort, Total Graduation Cohort, or Total Cohort interchangeably throughout this report) based on the year they first enter the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. Students enrolled for at least one day in the school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade are part of the school's Graduation Cohort. The school may remove students from the Graduation Cohort if the school has discharged those students for an acceptable reason listed in the SIRS manual, including but not limited to the following: if they transfer to another public or private diploma-granting program with documentation, transfer to home schooling by a parent or guardian, transfer to another district or school, transfer by court order, leave the U.S., or are deceased.

| Fourth Year Total Cohort for Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth Year Cohort | Year Entered $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Anywhe re | Cohort <br> Designatio <br> n | Number of Students Graduated or Still Enrolled on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's Fourth Year (a) | Number of Students Who Left the School but Were Not Discharged for an Acceptable Reason (b) | Total <br> Graduation Cohort (a) $+(b)$ |
| 2019-20 | 2016-17 | 2016 | 68 | 1 | 69 |
| 2020-21 | 2017-18 | 2017 | 67 | 1 | 68 |
| 2021-22 | 2018-19 | 2018 | 78 | 2 | 80 |


| Fifth Year Total Cohort for Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fifth Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort | Year <br> Entered <br> $9^{\text {th }}$ <br> Grade <br> Anywhe <br> re | Number of <br> Cohort <br> Students <br> Graduated or Still <br> Enrolled on June <br> $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's <br> Fifth Year <br> (a) | Number of Students Who Left <br> the School but WereNot <br> Discharged for an Acceptable <br> Reason <br> (b) | Total <br> Graduation <br> Cohort <br> (a) + (b) |  |  |
| $2019-20$ | $2015-16$ | 2015 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| $2020-21$ | $2016-17$ | 2016 | 3 | 1 | 7 |  |
| $2021-22$ | $2017-18$ | 2017 | 5 | 1 | 4 |  |

## PROMOTION POLICY

The state has provided additional guidance regarding earning course credit and unit of study requirements here.
Present the school's promotion requirements here; include a list of all core academic subjects and other relevant information, ensuring that the school's requirements are consistent with the State Commissioner's Part 100.5 Diploma Requirements.

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

Each year, $80 \%$ or greater of our students will graduate within 4 years while continuously enrolled.

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) each year.

## METHOD

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of the high school cohort and examines students' progress toward graduation based on annual credit accumulation. The measure requires that, based on the school's promotion requirements, 75 percent of the first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn the required number of credits.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure. Provide narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.
Percent of Students in First and Second Year Cohorts
Earning the Required Number of Credits in 2021-22

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort during <br> $2021-22$ | Percent <br> promoted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 88 | $100 \%$ |
| 2021 | 107 | $94 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide narrative discussing results from previous years and analysis of trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, how credit accumulation was impacted by any transitions to remote learning, etc. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in the second year high school Total Graduation Cohort will score at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation.

## METHOD

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of high school cohorts and examines their progress towards graduation based on Regents exam passage. The measure requires that 75 percent of students in each Graduation Cohort have passed at least three Regents exams by their second year in the cohort.

As a result of the Board of Regents' guidance regarding the cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20, 2020-21, and 2021-22 for the most recent second year cohort schools should report the percentage of students who either passed or were exempted from at least three exams. In August of 2022, the 2019 cohort will have completed its second year.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure. Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Percent of Students in their Second Year Passing Three Regents Exams by Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | School Year | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Passing at <br> Least Three <br> Regents (including <br> exemptions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $2019-20$ | 92 | $91 \%$ |
| 2019 | $2020-21$ | 86 | $92 \%$ |
| 2020 | $2021-22$ | 79 | $77 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Present a narrative discussing additional analysis of data such as trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, etc. This is an opportunity to show the scho?ol is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance. In addition, the school may present additional internally developed leading indicators that do not align to the required measures above.

## Goal 1: Absolute Measures

Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school Total Graduation Cohort and 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total Graduation Cohort will graduate.

## METHOD

This measure examines students in two high school Graduation Cohorts: those who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade as members of the 2018 cohort and graduated four years later and those who entered as members of the 2017 cohort and graduated five years later. These data reflect August graduation rates. At a minimum, these students have passed or been exempted from five Regents exams required for high school graduation in ELA, mathematics, science, U.S. History, and Global History or met the requirements for the $4+1$ pathway to graduation. ${ }^{1}$

The school's graduation requirements appear in this document above the graduation goal.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure. Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who have Graduated After Four Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | School <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort | Number who <br> Graduated | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 71 | 65 | $92 \%$ |

[^0]| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 67 | 60 | $90 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 78 | 72 | $92 \%$ |

Percent of Students in Total Graduation Cohort Who Have Graduated After Five Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | School <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort | Number who <br> Graduated | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | $2019-20$ | 88 | 83 | $94 \%$ |
| 2016 | $2020-21$ | 71 | 68 | $96 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2021-22$ | 67 | 65 | $97 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of data such as trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, etc. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

## Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the graduation rate of students completing their fourth year in the charter school's Total Graduation Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that district results for the current year are generally not available at this time, for purposes of this report schools should include the district's 2020-21 results as a temporary placeholder for the district's 2021-22 results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure. Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who Graduate in Four Years Compared to the District

| Cohort <br> Designation | School Year | Charter School |  |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number <br> in Cohort | Number who <br> Graduated | Percent <br> Graduating | Number in Cohort | Percent Graduating |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 71 | 65 | $92 \%$ | 4074 | $80 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 67 | 60 | $90 \%$ | 3817 | $84 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 78 | 72 | $92 \%$ | $3817^{*}$ | $84 \%^{*}$ |

## 2021-22 Accountability PLAN Progress Report

*2021 Graduation Rate, 2022 data is not available at this time.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide a narrative discussing additional analysis of data such as trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, etc. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

## Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort pursuing an alternative graduation pathway (commonly referred to as the $4+1$ pathway) will achieve a Regents equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment required for graduation by the end of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The New York State Board of Regents approved regulations establishing alternative pathways to graduation for all students. Students may replace one of the required Social Studies Regents exams with an approved alternative assessment. For more information about requirements and approved assessments refer to the NYSED resource online: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiplepathways/. The school will document the names of the alternative assessments administered and success rate for students in the templates below.

As a result of the Board of Regents' guidance regarding the cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20, 2020-21, and 2021-22 students planning to take a pathway examination during those canceled dates would be exempted from the requirement. For purposes of this measure, only report results for students with valid scores for any pathway exam.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Students at International Leadership do not take the Pathway exam. Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure; i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort who have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance. Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Percentage of the } 2018 \text { Graduation Cohort Pathway Students Demonstrating Success by Exam Type } \\
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Exam } & \text { Number of } \\
\text { Graduation Cohort } \\
\text { Members Tested }\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}\text { Number Passing or } \\
\text { Achieving Regents } \\
\text { Equivalency } \\
\text { (a) }\end{array}\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{c}Percentage Passing <br>

=[(\mathrm{b}) /(\mathrm{a})]^{*} 100\end{array}\right]\)|  |
| :--- |

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


|  | Py Fourthway Exam Passing Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort Designation | School Year | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Passing a Pathway <br> Exam |  |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ |  |  |  |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ |  |  |  |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ |  |  |  |

## ADDITIONAL CONTEXT AND EVIDENCE

Narrative discussing any concerns the school may have regarding the data reported above and the school's attempts to mitigate those concerns. The school should also supplement the information above with additional quantitative evidence from other types of academic assessments or evidence capturing the results of co-academic interventions. Schools with Accountability Plans that contain additional measures or conditions on renewal under the High School Graduation goal should report those results here.

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION GOAL

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Leading <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high <br> school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 <br> needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) <br> each year. | Met |
| Leading <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will score at least 65 on at least three different <br> New York State Regents exams required for graduation by the <br> completion of their second year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school <br> Total Graduation Cohort will graduate. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will graduate. | Met |
| Comparative | Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth | Met |


|  | year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the <br> school district of comparison. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort <br> pursuing an alternative graduation pathway will achieve a Regents <br> equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment <br> required for graduation by the end of their fourth year. | N/A |

## ACTION PLAN

Narrative explaining what specific steps the school will take to improve or maintain academic performance based on the specific results and patterns associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or student sub-populations based on the data presented.

## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

Each graduation 75 percent of our seniors will graduate college ready.

Present a narrative describing the school's policies and procedures for supporting students in the postsecondary planning process. Include a list of any partnerships the school is entered into that help support these efforts.

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate their preparation for college by at least one or some combination of the following indicators:

- Passing an Advanced Placement ("AP") exam with a score of 3 or higher;
- Earning a score of 4 or higher on an International Baccalaureate ("IB") exam;
- Passing a College Level Examination Program ("CLEP") exam;
- Passing a college level course offered at a college or university or through a school partnership with a college or university;
- Achieving the college and career readiness benchmark on the SAT;
- Earning a Regents diploma with advanced designation; or,
- A different school-created indicator approved by the Institute.


## METHOD

Schools use any method listed here, or any combination thereof, to demonstrate that at least 75 percent of graduates are prepared to engage in rigorous college level coursework. The school

## 2021-22 Accountability PLAN Progress Report

should select only those methods listed here that it uses to demonstrate the college readiness of its students and eliminate those that it will not. For instance, high schools that do not deliver an IB Program as part of their high school design do not report on the IB option. The school reports on the number of students who attempted to achieve each indicator, the number who succeeded, and the corresponding percentage. Additionally, the school should report on the overall number of students who graduated after four years, the number of those graduates who achieved any of the relevant indicators, and the overall percentage achieving any indicator.

For schools that offer a college level course offered at a college or university or through a school partnership with a college or university, provide details about the course offerings and partnership.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure. Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Percentage of the 2018 Total Cohort Graduates Demonstrating College Preparation by Indicator

| Indicator | Number of <br> Graduates who <br> Attempted the <br> Indicator | Number who Achieved <br> Indicator | Percentage of Graduates <br> who Achieved Indicator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matriculating to a college | 72 | 72 | $100 \%$ |
| Receiving 5 or more <br> college acceptance letters | 72 | 36 | $50 \%$ |
| Achieving the college <br> and career readiness <br> benchmark on the <br> SAT established by <br> CUNY <br> Schools (Earning Score <br> of 480 or higher on <br> Reading \& Writing <br> and score of 530 or <br> higher on Math) | 22 | 6 | $27 \%$ |
| Earning college <br> course credit <br> through the <br> College Now Program | 16 | 72 | 15 |
| Overall | 72 | $94 \%$ |  |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide a narrative discussing additional analysis of data such as trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, etc. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index ("CCCRI") for the school's Total Cohort will exceed the Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.

## Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will exceed that of the district of comparison's Total Cohort.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate into a college or university in the year after graduation.

## METHOD

The ultimate measure of whether a college prep high school has lived up to its mission is whether students actually enroll and succeed in college. Schools track and report the percentage of fourthyear Total Cohort graduates who matriculate into a two or four-year college program in the school year following graduation. Schools should update and confirm data for Cohorts prior to 2021-22 and provide preliminary matriculation data for 2018 Cohort. It may be necessary for schools to provide updated data to the Institute when National Student Clearinghouse or other data sources become available later in the school year.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly address the measure. The narrative should explain how the school collected the data (e.g. National Student Clearinghouse, student surveys) and explicitly state whether the school met the measure, discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

| Matriculation Rate of Graduates by Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohor <br> t | Graduation Year | Number of <br> Graduates | Number Enrolled <br> in 2 or 4-year <br> Program in <br> Following Year <br> (b) | Matriculation <br> Rate |  |  |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | (a) | $64(\mathrm{~b}) /(\mathrm{a})]^{* 100}$ |  |  |  |


| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 60 | 59 | $98 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 72 | 72 | $100 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL CONTEXT AND EVIDENCE

Narrative discussing any concerns the school may have regarding the data reported above and the school's attempts to mitigate those concerns. The school should also supplement the information above with additional quantitative evidence from other types of academic assessments or evidence capturing the results of co-academic interventions. Schools with Accountability Plans that contain additional measures or conditions on renewal under the College Preparation goal should report those results here.

Percent of Students Enrolled in College at Any Time During the First Year After High School


Percent of Students Enrolled in College at Any Time During the First Two Years After High School

$A V G=93 \%$

Percent of Students Enrolled in College the First Year After High School Who Returned for a Second Year (Freshman to Sophomore Persistence)

$$
\text { Effective Date = April 21, } 2022
$$



- All Institutions

AVG $=89 \%$

## SUMMARY OF THE COLLEGE PREPARATION GOAL

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate <br> their preparation for college by one or more possible <br> indicators of college readiness. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the CCCRI for the school's Total Cohort will exceed <br> that year's state MIP set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will exceed <br> that of the district's Total Cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate <br> into a college or university in the year after graduation. | Met |
| Additional Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will be accepted <br> to 5 or more colleges or universities. | Did not Meet |
|  |  |  |

## ACTION PLAN

Narrative explaining what specific steps the school will take to improve or maintain academic performance based on the specific results and patterns associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or student sub-populations based on the data presented.

## GOAL 3: ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Goal 3: English Language Arts
Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## BACKGROUND

Provide a brief narrative discussing English language arts curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development at the school in the high school grades. Provide a summary of any
changes to the school's modality (e.g., hybrid, remote) for ELA instruction throughout the year including any important changes to the ELA program or staff during the 2021-22 school year.

## HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered the Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core). This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20, 202021, and 2021-22 some students in the 2018 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 4 among the students who sat for the exam.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort who ever sat for the English Language Arts Regents and have achieved at least Performance Level 4 with a comparison to previous years' performance. Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.


[^1]
## 2021-22 Accountability PLAN Progress Report

| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 68 | $5^{*}$ | 51 | $81 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 67 | $62^{*}$ | 4 | $80 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 78 | 3 | 41 | $55 \%$ |

*As described on p. 287 of the SIRS 2020-21 Manual, a "valid score" implies any Regents score earned by a student who did not receive entirely remote instruction. There were students who earned a Regents exam score below a 65 who also qualified for a Regents exemption. These exempted students were not included in the "Number Exempted with No Valid Score" column.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

| Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
|  | Number in Cohort | Percent Level 4 | Number in Cohort | Percent Level 4 | Number in Cohort | Percent Level 4 |
| 2018 | NA | NA | 82 | 66\% | 78 | 34\% |
| 2019 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 77 | 74\% |
| 2020 |  |  | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  | NA | NA |

* The percentages captured in the above chart reflects the percentage of students who took the exam.


## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered the Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the cut off for passing and meeting the requirement for graduation as scoring at or above Performance Level 3 (partially meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core). This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 3 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.
Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20, 202021, and 2021-22 some students in the 2018 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted

## 2021-22 Accountability Plan Progress Report

from the exam as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 3 among the students who sat for the exam.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort who ever sat for the exam who have achieved at least Performance Level 3 with a comparison to previous years' performance. Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.
Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on Regents English Common Core Exam

by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}

\hline | Cohort |
| :---: |
| Designation | \& | Fourth |
| :---: |
| Year | \& | Number |
| :---: |
| in |
| Cohort |
| (a) | \& | Number Exempted |
| :---: |
| with No Valid |
| Score |
| (b) | \& | Number Scoring |
| :---: |
| at Least Level 3 |
| (c) | \& | Percent Scoring at Least |
| :---: |
| Level 3 Among Students |
| with Valid Score |
| (c)/(a-b) | <br>

\hline 2016 \& $2019-20$ \& 68 \& $5^{*}$ \& 59 \& $94 \%$ <br>
\hline 2017 \& $2020-21$ \& 67 \& 62 \& 5 \& $100 \%$ <br>
\hline 2018 \& $2021-22$ \& 78 \& 3 \& 68 \& $91 \%$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

| Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\qquad$Cohort $2019-20$  $2020-21$  2021-22  <br> Designatio <br> n Number <br> in Cohort Percent <br> Passing Number <br> in Cohort Percent <br> Passing Number <br> in Cohort Percent <br> Passing <br> 2018 NA NA 82 $98 \%$ 78 $79 \%$ <br> 2019 NA NA NA NA 77 $91 \%$ <br> 2020   NA NA NA NA <br> 2021     NA NA |  |

[^2]
## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.
Goal 3: Comparative Measure
Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort not proficient in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade who sat for the Regents exam and achieved at least Performance Level 4 with a comparison to previous years' performance. Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this
section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on Common Core exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort not <br> Proficient in <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted <br> with No Valid <br> Score <br> (b) | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level 4 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 4 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 39 | 5 | 26 | $76 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 25 | 25 | NA | NA |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 30 | 0 | 13 | $43 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for graduation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort not proficient in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade who sat for the Regents exam and achieved at least Performance Level 3 or higher with a comparison to previous years' performance. Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on Common Core exam among Students

| Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort not <br> Proficient in <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted <br> with No Valid <br> Score <br> (b) | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level 3 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |  |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 36 | 0 | 35 | $97 \%$ |  |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 39 | 4 | 31 | $88 \%$ |  |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 30 | 0 | 27 | $90 \%$ |  |

## ADDITIONAL CONTEXT AND EVIDENCE

Narrative discussing any concerns the school may have regarding the data reported above and the school's attempts to mitigate those concerns. The school should also supplement the information above with additional quantitative evidence from other types of academic assessments or evidence capturing the results of co-academic interventions. Schools with Accountability Plans that contain additional measures or conditions on renewal under the High School ELA goal should report those results here.

## ELA Goal: Additional Measure

[Include additional measures that are part of the Accountability Plan.]

## Method:

Results and Evaluation:
Additional Evidence:

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS GOAL

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will <br> meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above <br> Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common <br> Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |


| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will <br> at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts <br> (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) on the Regents English exam of <br> students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet <br> the state Measure of Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English <br> Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable <br> students from the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting <br> Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts <br> (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the <br> district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents English of students in the <br> fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of <br> comparable students from the school district of comparison. | N/A |
| Growth | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grade English <br> language arts exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations <br> (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in <br> English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year <br> in the cohort. | Not Met |
| Growth | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grade English <br> language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations <br> (currently scoring at least Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English <br> Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the <br> cohort. | Met |

## ACTION PLAN

Narrative explaining what specific steps the school will take to improve or maintain academic performance based on the specific results and patterns associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or student sub-populations based on the data presented.

## GOAL 4: MATHEMATICS

## Goal 4: Mathematics

75 percent of students in the graduation accountability cohort will pass the NYS Regents exams in mathematics.

## BACKGROUND

Provide a brief narrative discussing the mathematics curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development at the school in the high school grades. Provide a summary of any changes to the school's modality (e.g., hybrid, remote) for mathematics instruction throughout the year including any important changes to the mathematics program or staff during the 2021-22 school year.

## HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure <br> Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on any Regents Common Core mathematics exams. This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20, 202021, and 2021-22, some students in the 2018 Cohort who had not previously sat for any mathematics exam but were scheduled to sit for one during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam requirement as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 4 among the students who sat for any exam.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort who sat for a mathematics exam and have achieved at least Performance Level 4 with a comparison to previous years' performance. Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Percent Scoring at Least Level } 4 \text { on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam } \\
\text { by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort }
\end{gathered}
$$

| Cohort | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in <br> Cohort <br> (a) | Number <br> exempted <br> with No <br> Valid Score <br> (b) | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level <br> 4 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at <br> Least Level 4 Among <br> Students with Valid <br> Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 68 | 5 | 10 | $16 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 67 | 5 | 12 | $19 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 78 | 7 | 14 | $20 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

| Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort Designatio n | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
|  | Number in Cohort | Percen t Level 4 | Number in Cohort | Percent Level 4 | Number in Cohort | Percent Level 4 |
| 2018 | 92 | 21\% | 82 | 21\% | 78 | 0\% |
| 2019 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2020 |  |  | 89 | 2\% | 68 | 25\% |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  | 94 | 16\%* |

* The percentages captured in the above chart reflects the percentage of students who took the exam.


## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The State Education Department currently defines the cut off for passing and meeting the requirement for graduation as scoring at or above Performance Level 3 (partially meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents mathematics exams. This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 3 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20, 202021, and 2021-22, some students in the 2018 Cohort who had not previously sat for any mathematics exam but were scheduled to sit for one during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam requirement as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 3 among the students who sat for any exam.

## 2021-22 AcCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort who sat for the exam and have achieved at least Performance Level 3 with a comparison to previous years' performance. Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.
Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in Cohort <br> (a) | Number Exempted <br> with No Valid Score <br> (b) | Number Scoring <br> at Least Level 3 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 68 | 18 | 46 | $92 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 67 | 5 | 53 | $85 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 78 | 7 | 58 | $82 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

## Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

| Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort Designatio n | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-2022 |  |
|  | Number in Cohort | Percent Passing | Number in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number in Cohort | Percent Passing |
| 2018 | 92 | 74\% | 82 | 76\% | 78 | 86\% |
| 2019 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2020 |  |  | 89 | 57\% | 76 | 100\% |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  | 97 | 58\% |

[^3]The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.
Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.
Goal 4: Comparative Measure
Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") in Regents mathematics of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to grow to meeting the mathematics requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort not proficient in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade who sat for the Regents exam and achieved at least Performance Level 4 with a comparison to previous years' performance. Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort not <br> Proficient in <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | Number <br> Exempted <br> with No Valid <br> Score | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level 4 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 4 Among Students <br> with Valid Score |


|  |  | (a) | (b) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 48 | 14 | 5 | $15 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 28 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 44 | 1 | 7 | $16 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to move to meeting the mathematics requirement for graduation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort not proficient in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade who sat for the exam and achieved at least Performance Level 3 or higher with a comparison to previous years' performance. Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{3}$
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Cohort } \\ \text { Designation }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Fourth } \\ \text { Year }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Number in } \\ \text { Cohort not } \\ \text { Proficient in } \\ 8^{\text {th }} \text { Grade } \\ \text { (a) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Number } \\ \text { Exempted } \\ \text { with No Valid } \\ \text { Score } \\ \text { (b) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Number } \\ \text { Scoring at } \\ \text { Least Level 3 } \\ \text { (c) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent Scoring at Least } \\ \text { Level 3 Among Students } \\ \text { with Valid Score } \\ \text { (c)/(a-b) }\end{array}\right]$

[^4]| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 44 | 1 | 38 | $88 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## ADDITIONAL CONTEXT AND EVIDENCE

Narrative discussing any concerns the school may have regarding the data reported above and the school's attempts to mitigate those concerns. The school should also supplement the information above with additional quantitative evidence from other types of academic assessments or evidence capturing the results of co-academic interventions. Schools with Accountability Plans that contain additional measures or conditions on renewal under the High School Mathematics goal should report those results here.

## Mathematics Goal: Additional Measure

[Include additional measures that are part of the Accountability Plan.]

## Method:

Results and Evaluation:
Additional Evidence:

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS GOAL

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at <br> or above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in mathematics of students <br> completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state <br> Measure of Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparativ <br> e | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will | N/A |

## 2021-22 Accountability PLAN Progress Report

|  | exceed the percentage of comparable students from the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparativ e | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparativ <br> e | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents mathematics of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison. | N/A |
| Growth | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |
| Growth | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at least Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |

## ACTION PLAN

Narrative explaining what specific steps the school will take to improve or maintain academic performance based on the specific results and patterns associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or student sub-populations based on the data presented.

## GOAL 5: SCIENCE

## ELEMENTARY AND MIDDLE SCIENCE

## Goal 5: Science

80 percent or greater of students in Accountability Cohort who sit for the Living Environment will meet or exceed expectations on the NYS Regents Exam.

## BACKGROUND

Provide a brief narrative discussing science curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development at the school in the high school grades. Provide a summary of the changes to the school's modality for science instruction throughout the year including any important changes to the science program or staff during the 2021-22 school year.

## HIGH SCHOOL SCIENCE

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents science exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

New York State schools administer multiple high school science assessments; current Regent exams are Living Environment, Earth Science, Chemistry and Physics. The school administered exam(s). It scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100; students must score at least 65 to pass. This measure

Commented [2]: Just a reminder, we need to remember to fill in the exams administered. requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass any one of the Regents science exams by their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken a particular Regents science exam multiple times or have taken multiple science exams. Students have until the summer of their fourth year to pass a science exam.
Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20, 202021, and 2021-22, some students in the 2018 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students passing among the students who sat for the exam.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure; i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort who sat for the exam and have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance. Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

| Science Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 <br> by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort <br> Designation Fourth <br> Year Number <br> in Cohort <br> (a) Number Exempted <br> with No Valid <br> Score <br> (b) Number Passing <br> with at Least a 65 <br> (c)Percent Passing Among <br> Students with Valid <br> Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 68 | 20 | 46 | $96 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 67 | 7 | 56 | $93 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 78 | 13 | 56 | $86 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide narrative discussing passing rates on individual assessments, and additional analysis of the data such as performance of cohorts that have not yet completed their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

[^5]
## 2021-22 AcCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

| Science Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\qquad$Cohort $2019-20$  $2020-21$  $2021-22$ Designatio <br> n | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |  |
| 2018 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 79 | $67 \%$ |  |
| 2019 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |
| 2020 |  |  | 83 | $71 \%$ | 76 | $75 \%$ |  |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  | 97 | $63 \%$ |  |

```
Goal 5: Comparative Measure
Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing a Regents science exam
with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district
of comparison.
```

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2021-22.

## ADDITIONAL CONTEXT AND EVIDENCE

Narrative discussing any concerns the school may have regarding the data reported above and the school's attempts to mitigate those concerns. The school should also supplement the information above with additional quantitative evidence from other types of academic assessments or evidence capturing the results of co-academic interventions. Schools with Accountability Plans that contain additional measures or conditions on renewal under the high school science goal should report those results here.

## Science Goal: Additional Measure

[Include additional measures that are part of the Accountability Plan.]

## Method:

Results and Evaluation:
Additional Evidence:

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL SCIENCE GOAL

Present a narrative providing an overall discussion of the school's attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

## ACTION PLAN

Narrative explaining how the school will strive to maintain consistency in its data collection and reporting in the context of possible changes to the modality of instruction. The narrative also explains what specific steps the school will take to maintain or improve academic performance based on the specific results associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or subpopulations.

## GOAL 6: SOCIAL STUDIES

## Goal 6: Social Studies

Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents U.S. History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

New York State administers two high school social studies assessments: U.S. History and Global History. In order to graduate, students must pass both of these Regents exams with a score of 65 or higher. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the two exams by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exams multiple times and have until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20, 202021, and 2021-22, some students in the 2018 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students passing among the students who sat for the exam.

## RESULTS

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure; i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort who sat for the exam and have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of } 65 \\
\text { by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort }
\end{gathered}
$$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in <br> Cohort <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted with <br> No Valid Score <br> (b) | Number Passing <br> with at Least a 65 <br> (c) | Percent Passing Among <br> Students with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 68 | 5 | 62 | $98 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 67 | 67 | NA | NA |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 78 | 70 | NA | NA |

## EVALUATION

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance in specific grades and populations. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the state data such as performance of cohorts that have not yet completed their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

| Cohort | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Designatio } \\ \mathrm{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number in Cohort | Percent Passing | Number in Cohort | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Passing } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Number in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2018 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2019 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2020 |  |  | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  | NA | NA |

## Goal 6: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents U.S. History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.

## Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exam multiple

## 2021-22 Accountability PLAN Progress Report

times, and had until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.
Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20, 202021, and 2021-22, some students in the 2018 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students passing among the students who sat for the exam.

## RESULTS

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure; i.e., the percent of students in the 2018 Cohort who sat for the exam and have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

| Global History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 <br> by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort <br> Designation Fourth <br> Year Number in <br> Cohort <br> (a) Number Exempted <br> with No Valid Score <br> (b)Number Passing <br> with at Least a 65 <br> (c) | Percent Passing Among <br> Students with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | $2019-20$ | 68 | 9 | 57 | $97 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 67 | 8 | 50 | $90 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2021-22$ | 78 | 78 | NA | NA |

## EVALUATION

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance in specific grades and populations. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the state data such as performance of cohorts that have not yet completed their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.
Global History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort | $2019-20$ |  | $2020-21$ |  | $2021-22$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designatio <br> n | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2018 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2019 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 77 | $100 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | NA | NA | 76 | $88 \%$ |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  | NA | NA |

[^6]Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents Global History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

The Institute does not require charters to report on this measure for 2021-22.

## ADDITIONAL CONTEXT AND EVIDENCE

Narrative discussing any concerns the school may have regarding the data reported above and the school's attempts to mitigate those concerns. The school should also supplement the information above with additional quantitative evidence from other types of academic assessments or evidence capturing the results of co-academic interventions. Schools with Accountability Plans that contain additional measures or conditions on renewal under the high school social studies goal should report those results here.

Social Studies Goal: Additional Measure
[Include additional measures that are part of the Accountability Plan.]

## Method:

## Results and Evaluation:

Additional Evidence:

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL SOCIAL STUDIES GOAL

Present a narrative providing an overall discussion of the school's attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

## ACTION PLAN

Narrative explaining how the school will strive to maintain consistency in its data collection and reporting in the context of possible changes to the modality of instruction. The narrative also explains what specific steps the school will take to maintain or improve academic performance based on the specific results associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or subpopulations.

## GOAL 7: ESSA

Due to COVID-19 and the subsequent changes to the state's testing, accountability, and federal reporting requirements, the 2021-22 school accountability statuses are the same as those assigned for the 2020-21 school year. Assigned accountability designations and further context can be found here.

## Goal 7: Absolute Measure

Under the state's ESSA accountability system, the school is in good standing: the state has not identified the school for comprehensive or targeted improvement.

## METHOD

Because all students are expected to meet the state's performance standards, the federal statute stipulates that various sub-populations and demographic categories of students among all tested students must meet the state standard in and of themselves aside from the overall school results. As New York State, like all states, is required to establish a specific system for making these determinations for its public schools, charter schools do not have latitude in establishing their own performance levels or criteria of success for meeting the ESSA accountability requirements. Each year, the state issues School Report Cards that indicate a school's status under the state accountability system.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

State the school's ESSA status this year. Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether or not the school met the measure and any changes over time.

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE
Provide a narrative reviewing the school's ESSA status during each year of the current Accountability Period.

| Year | Accountability Status by Year |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2019-20$ | Status |
| $2020-21$ | Good Standing |
| $2021-22$ | Good Standing |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The state's guidance for the multiple graduation pathways can be found here: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiple-pathways/.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^2]:    * The percentages captured in the above chart reflects the percentage of students who took the exam.

[^3]:    Goal 4: Absolute Measure
    Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents mathematics exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the mathematics Regents exam

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ Based on the highest score for each student on any science Regents exam

[^6]:    Goal 6: Comparative Measure

