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Dr. Elaine Ruiz Lopez has served as the Founder and CEO for the International Leadership Charter High School since the school's first year in the fall of 2006.

## INTRODUCTION

The International Leadership Charter High School (International Leadership), the first public charter high school in the Bronx, opened its doors in September of 2006. Since its founding, International Leadership has delivered an academically rigorous curriculum aimed at elevating students' intellectual capacity and preparing students for a higher education. We seek to expand students' knowledge of international studies and to cultivate their leadership skills with a commitment to community service, social action and civic participation in a global society. Our mission is to change lives and transform communities, one scholar at a time.

As part of its mission, International Leadership provides Latino, African-American and children of immigrants from various ethnic communities living in or below poverty with an exceptional college preparatory curriculum sequence. Over 85 percent of students are economically disadvantaged and more than 80 percent are the first in their families to attend college.

| Data as of June 2019 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Demographic | \% Total <br> Enrollment | Additional <br> Characteristics | \% Total <br> Enrollment |
| Hispanic | 79.2 | SWD | 17.5 |
| Black/African-American | 12.4 | ELL/MLL | 8.4 |
| White | 2.7 | ED | 89 |
| Asian/Asian- <br> American/Hawaiian/Pacific <br> Islander | 1.5 |  |  |
| No Data | 4.2 |  |  |

With close to $89 \%$ of students qualifying for Free and Reduced lunch during the 2018-2019 school year, International Leadership is committed to graduating its students and increasing college enrollment in our Bronx community. Over the past five years, graduation rates have averaged above $95 \%$, and $96 \%$ of 2015 cohort members graduated in four years.


Historical four-year graduation rate data show the School has consistently outperformed both the city average and comparison schools. ${ }^{1}$

Consistent with past years, 100\% of the 2019 graduating class committed to enrolling in a higher education program. Since 2015, an average of $88 \%$ of our graduates have enrolled in college in their first year. An average of $93 \%$ of alumni have enrolled in college within two years of
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graduation during the same time. By isolating just the class of 2018, the National Student Clearinghouse data confirm 91 percent of alumni enrolled in college during the first year after graduation, exceeding the target by 16 percentage points.
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Persistence is also important, and NSC access allows the School to monitor persistence rates all the way through to college graduation. Data already show 92 percent of students from the 2015 graduating cohort returned to college for year two, and 85 percent of the 2016 cohort did the same. The School will continue to track and analyze this data into the next charter term. International Leadership Board of Trustees members, leaders and staff are proud of these successes, but the high school increasingly realizes the need to commit resources toward ensuring all graduates persist through college.

In keeping with the school's mission to graduate students who are college ready, International Leadership frequently reviews its Regents preparation and performance. In 2018, students passed five key Regents exams with rates that exceeded both the statewide and Bronx Community School District 10 averages.


Despite the high passing rate, International Leadership is committed to ensuring that students not only pass the Regents, but that they also obtain a level of mastery. The below listed Key Design Elements position the school to support more students passing the Regents and attaining mastery levels of competency.

## KEY DESIGN ELEMENTS

## 1) Extended School Day and Block Scheduling

The extended school day schedule has contributed to successful student outcomes. It allows teachers to engage students deeply and reduces the pressure often felt by teachers to cram core subjects into too few hours. The longer school day also opens up the schedule for additional subjects students enjoy.

Instruction is delivered in 60/90 minute blocks. Longer periods enable teachers to divide the class into groups and make room for individual and small-group tutoring. Students and teachers also interact more and create stronger relationships, which is a crucial foundation for student achievement. The block scheduling allows students more time on task and more time to "catch up" or to accelerate. It also allows students to carry out experiments from beginning to end in one session.

## 2) Saturday Academy and After School Tutoring

In January of every academic year, students are required to attend Saturday Academy for three hours. The purpose and goal for Saturday Academy is to provide all students with intensive Regents exam preparation. In addition, students have been provided with additional support during after-school tutorial sessions.

Strategies implemented during the sessions identify and meet the needs of students who are atrisk of academic failure, those not making acceptable process toward achieving School goals,
those who are ELL and those who have a disability or other special learning need. These supports have helped all students at International Leadership perform at extremely high levels, keeping them on the path to college and career.

## 3) Acceleration in Mathematics and Science

International Leadership's four-year course sequence focuses on accelerated content in mathematics and science. Students are expected to achieve a high level of proficiency according to the NYS Common Core Standards and the National Council of Mathematics guidelines.

Algebra and Geometry are integrated and taught in ninth grade and sets the foundation for students to achieve mastery of the content necessary for success in grades ten and eleven. The Algebra2/Geometry/Trigonometry course in grade ten prepares students for the eleventh grade Pre-Calculus course. Pre-Calculus is rigorous and is a necessary pre-requisite in the college prep sequence to successfully compete for admission to the best 4-year colleges.

The science sequence includes Living Environment and Biology in ninth grade, Chemistry in tenth, and Physics in eleventh, which will enable students to pursue AP Biology as seniors. The School has designed a Science LAB to accommodate various experiments and projects. One of the goals in AP Biology is to develop a Forensics DNA LAB to further motivate student learning and research.

## 4) College Preparatory Course Sequence

International Leadership provides students with a college/university bound college prep course sequence that has been proven to increase student opportunities and close the equity gap. The course sequence is a key factor in achieving the School's mission. Additionally, over a third of qualifying seniors take college courses for credit at nearby Lehman College.

## 5) Ongoing Professional Development

International Leadership believes that reflection and learning are at the heart of pedagogical and organizational excellence. The leadership team at International Leadership fully recognizes that there are always opportunities for teachers and school leaders to reflect and consider ways to improve. As such, the School participates in Pre-Service Summer Professional Development, two annual professional development retreats and weekly professional development workshops. Teachers are also observed by school leadership and subject specific academic coaches throughout the year to ensure that teachers receive frequent and timely feedback.

## Data-Driven Instruction

International Leadership Charter High School firmly believes one of the most effective best practices contributing to successful student outcomes is the use of data to drive instruction and ongoing professional development. The School provides bi-annual retreats, led by data consultants and the leadership team, to analyze the School's data with an emphasis on Regents exam results. Data is disaggregated to examine trends among students with special needs, those considered English language learners, and students who are economically disadvantaged.
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The School also examines results by gender and teacher. The objective is to help teachers understand how data can be used to drive daily classroom instruction, and includes both aggregated data and item analysis reviews.

In addition to the retreats, the School provides weekly professional development sessions yearround, and partners with Fordham University to get coaches who help facilitate the process and provide support in the School.

All International Leadership teachers are trained in item analysis, and it is required as part of the ongoing professional development program that all teachers conduct frequent item analysis in their respective content areas in order to improve and enhance instructional practices. As teachers identify the strengths and needs of students, they design learning experiences and collect appropriate instructional content resources aligned to identified areas of need.

## 6) Internships \& Community Development Project (CDP)

International Leadership believes that real civic engagement begins when students are given opportunities to engage directly with the community. The CDP requirement is for unpaid volunteer service for a total of 200 hours of service over the course of junior and senior year. The internships provide students with an opportunity to apply research, concepts, and skills from classes to an authentic setting with an identified community need.

## SUMMARIZED ENROLLMENT

## School Enrollment by Grade Level and School Year

| School Year | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | 80 | 82 | 81 | 78 | 321 |
| $2018-19$ | 109 | 70 | 73 | 80 | 332 |

The table above shows school enrollment on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of each school year listed.

## HIGH SCHOOL COHORTS

## ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT

The state's Accountability Cohort consists specifically of students who are in their fourth year of high school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. For example, the 2015 state Accountability Cohort consists of students who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade anywhere sometime during the 2015-16 school year, were
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enrolled in the school on the state's annual enrollment-determination day (i.e., BEDS day) in the 2018-19 school year, and either remained in the school for the rest of the year or left for an acceptable reason. (See New York State Education Department's SIRS Manual for more details about cohort eligibility and acceptable exit reasons: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/ht)

The following table indicates the number of students in the Accountability Cohorts who are in their fourth year of high school and were enrolled at the school on BEDS Day in October and remained in the school until June $30^{\text {th }}$ of that year.

## Fourth-Year High School Accountability Cohorts

| Fourth <br> Year <br> Cohort | Year Entered <br> $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> Anywhere | Cohort <br> Designation | Number of Students <br> Enrolled on BEDS Day in <br> October of the Cohort's <br> Fourth Year | Number <br> Leaving <br> During the <br> School Year | Number in <br> Accountability <br> Cohort as of <br> June 30th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2015-16$ | $2012-13$ | 2012 |  |  |  |
| $2016-17$ | $2013-14$ | 2013 |  |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $2014-15$ | 2014 | 77 | 0 | 77 |
| $2018-19$ | $2015-16$ | 2015 | 83 | 0 | 83 |

## TOTAL COHORT FOR GRADUATION

Students are also included in the Total Cohort for Graduation (referred to as the Graduation Cohort, Total Graduation Cohort, or Total Cohort interchangeably throughout this report) based on the year they first enter the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. Students enrolled for at least one day in the school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade are part of the school's Graduation Cohort. The school may remove students from the Graduation Cohort if the school has discharged those students for an acceptable reason listed in the SIRS manual, including the following: if they transfer to another public or private diploma-granting program with documentation, transfer to home schooling by a parent or guardian, transfer to another district or school, transfer by court order, leave the U.S., or are deceased.

## Fourth Year Total Cohort for Graduation

| Fourth Year Cohort | Year <br> Entered $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Anywhere | Cohort Designation | Number of Students Enrolled on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's Fourth Year (a) | Number of Students No Longer at the School Who Had Been Enrolled for at Least One Day Prior to Leaving the School and Who Were Not Discharged for an Acceptable Reason <br> (b) | Total Graduation Cohort (a) $+(b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 | 2012-13 | 2012 |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 2013-14 | 2013 |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 2014-15 | 2014 | 77 | 0 | 77 |
| 2018-19 | 2015-16 | 2015 | 83 | 0 | 83 |

## Fifth Year Total Cohort for Graduation

| Fifth | Year <br> Entered <br> Cohort <br> $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> Anywhere | Cohort <br> Designation | Number of <br> Students Enrolled <br> on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the <br> Cohort's Fifth Year <br> (a) | Number of Students No Longer <br> at the School Who Had Been <br> Enrolled for at Least One Day <br> Prior to Leaving the School and <br> Who Were Not Discharged for <br> an Acceptable Reason <br> (b) | Total <br> Graduation <br> Cohort <br> (a) + (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2015-16$ | $2011-12$ | 2011 |  |  |  |
| $2016-17$ | $2012-13$ | 2012 |  | 0 |  |
| $2017-18$ | $2013-14$ | 2013 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $2018-19$ | $2014-15$ | 2014 | 1 |  | 1 |

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

Each year, $80 \%$ or greater of our students will graduate within 4 years while continuously enrolled.

## 2018-19 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

## International Leadership Charter School MINIMUM GRADUATION REQUIREMENTS

| 9th Grade | 10th Grade | 11th Grade | 12th Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Global History I | *Global History II | *U. S. History \& Government | Government and Economics |
| *Algebra/Geometry | Algebra <br> 2/Trigonometry | Pre-Calculus | College Prep Seminar/ <br> Essay Writing |
| English I | English II | *English III | English IV: Research \& College Composition |
| *Living Environment | *Chemistry | *Physics | Advanced Biology/Physics/ Chemistry |
|  |  | PE/Health/TKD | PE/Health/TKD |
| Language Other Than English (LOTE) | Language Other Than English (LOTE) | Language Other Than English (LOTE) |  |
| Youth Leadership <br> Advisory | Youth Leadership Advisory | *CDP/Internship | *CDP/Internship |
| Credit Accumulation | Credit Accumulation | Credit Accumulation | Credit Accumulation |
| 2.0 Credits in English | 2.0 Credits in English | 2.0 Credits in English Regents | 2.0 Credits in English |
| 2.0 Credits in Mathematics | 2.0 Credits in Mathematics | 2.0 Credits in <br> Mathematics | 2.0 Credits in Mathematics |
| 2.0 Credits in History | 2.0 Credits in History | 2.0 Credits in History | 2.0 Credits in Social Studies |
| 2.0 Credits in Science | 2.0 Credits in Science | 2.0 Credits in Science | 2.0 College Prep Seminar |
| 2.0 Credit in LOTE <br> Spanish | 2.0 Credit in LOTE <br> Mandarin I | 2.0 Credit in LOTE <br> Mandarin II | 2.0 Credit Tae Kwon Do |
| 1.0 Youth Leadership | 1.0 Youth Leadership | 2.0 Credit Tae Kwon Do | 2.0 CDP |
|  |  | 2.0 CDP |  |
| Total Credits=11.0 | Total Credits=11.0 | Total Credits=14 | Total Credits=12.0 |

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) each year.

## METHOD

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of the high school cohort and examines students' progress toward graduation based on annual credit accumulation. The measure requires that, based on the school's promotion requirements, 75 percent of the first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn the required number of credits.

Percent of Students in First and Second Year Cohorts
Earning the Required Number of Credits in 2018-19

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> promoted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 |  |  |
| 2017 | 70 | $99 \%$ |
| 2018 | 109 | $98 \%$ |

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The First and Second Year Cohorts during the 2018-19 school year met and exceeded the indicator of achieving 10 credits by the first and second year and on the Absolute Measure of $>75 \%$.

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in the second year high school Total Graduation Cohort will score at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation.

## METHOD

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of high school cohorts and examines their progress towards graduation based on Regents exam passage. The measure requires that 75 percent of students in each Graduation Cohort have passed at least three Regents exams by their second year in the cohort. In August of 2018, the 2016 cohort will have completed its second year.

## Percent of Students in their Second Year Passing Three Regents Exams by Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Passing Three <br> Regents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  |  |
| 2015 |  |  |
| 2016 | 82 | $46 \%$ |
| 2017 | 71 | $59 \%$ |

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

59\% of Second year 2017 Total Cohort members passed the three regents, Algebra I, Living Environment, and Global History. The percentage of the 2017 cohort that passed three Regents exams increases to $63 \%$ if scores of 55 and above are counted for SWD. Even though, the percentages listed in the table above fall below the $75 \%$ target, he past three cohorts have averaged over $90 \%$ passing rates in ELA and Math by the end of their fourth year.
$67 \%$ of SWD in the 2017 Total Cohort scored at or above a 65 on three Regents exams by the end of their second year and $100 \%$ of SWD from the 2017 cohort scored above 55 by the end of their second year.

Goal 1: Absolute Measures
Each year, 80 percent of students in the fourth year high school Total Graduation Cohort and 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total Graduation Cohort will graduate.

Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who have Graduated After Four Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 |  |  |
| 2013 | 55 | $94 \%$ |
| 2014 | 77 | $99 \%$ |
| 2015 | 83 | $96 \%$ |

Percent of Students in Total Graduation Cohort Who Have Graduated After Five Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |
| 2013 | 2 | $100 \%$ |
| 2014 | 1 | $100 \%$ |

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

For the 2014 Cohort, $99 \%$ of students who were continuously enrolled, graduated within 4 Years and met and exceeded expectations on the Absolute Measure of $>75 \%$. $96 \%$ of 2015 cohort members graduated in June of 2019. The remaining cohort members are scheduled to graduate in June of 2020. The 2013 and 2014 five year cohorts both surpassed the $95 \%$ target.
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## Goal 1: Additional Absolute Measure

Each year, $75 \%$ or greater of the SWD and ELL students will graduate in four years having earned a Regents diploma

## METHOD

Refer to NYSED regulations for details on graduation requirements.
http://www.nysed.gov/curriculum-instruction/general-education-and-diploma-requirements

## Percent of 2015 Accountability Cohort Students

| Student <br> Learning <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating in <br> 4 years with <br> Regents <br> Diploma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELL | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| SWD | 12 | $75 \%$ |

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Nine of Twelve SWD from the 2015 Accountability Cohort graduated in four years with a Regents diploma. Three SWD from the 2015 were $11^{\text {th }}$ graders during the 2018-2019. Nine of Ten $12^{\text {th }}$ grade 2015 cohort SWD graduated with a Regents diploma.

## Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who <br> Graduate in Four Years Compared to the District

| Cohort <br> Designat <br> ion | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| 2012 | $49^{2}$ | $94 \%^{3}$ | $4,098^{4}$ | $69 \%$ |
| 2013 | 55 | $94 \%$ | 3,853 | $71 \%$ |
| 2014 | 77 | $99 \%^{5}$ | $3,911^{6}$ | $73 \%$ |
| 2015 | 83 | $96 \%^{7}$ | NA | NA |

[^1]
## 2018-19 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

For the 2014 Total Cohort, $99 \%$ of students who were continuously enrolled, graduated within 4 Years and met and exceeded expectations on the Absolute Measure of >75\%. This cohort outcome for graduation, exceeded CSD 10 by 26\%.

The graduation outcome for the 2013 cohort was $94 \%$. This cohort outcome for graduation, far exceeded the school district performance in which International Leadership is located by $23 \%$ (CSD \#10).
$83 \%$ or 10 of 12 SWDs in the 2015 Total Cohort graduated in four years. This high four year graduation rate for SWD has remained consistent over the years with $100 \%$ of 2014 cohort SWDs and $83 \%$ of 2013 SWDs graduating in four years.

In comparison, the CSD \#10 Total Cohort graduation rate for 2013 was reported at 71\%; only 39\% graduated with a NYS Regents Diploma. For Students with Disabilities (SWD: Out of 527) the fouryear Graduation Rate was reported at 54\%.

The CSD \#10 total cohort graduation rate for 2013 for ELLs is reported at 78\% as compared with the graduation rate for ELLs at our charter school. Eighty one percent (81\%) graduated and of that number, 67\% graduated with a NYS Regents Diploma. Our total cohort graduation rate for 2014 reflects a $99 \%$ graduation performance outcome.

## Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort pursuing an alternative graduation pathway (commonly referred to as the $4+1$ pathway) will achieve a Regents equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment required for graduation by the end of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The New York State Board of Regents approved regulations establishing alternative pathways to graduation for all students. Students may replace one of the required Social Studies Regents exams with an approved alternative assessment. For more information about requirements and approved assessments refer to the NYSED resource online: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiple-
pathways/. The school will document the names of the alternative assessments administered and success rate for students in the templates bellow.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Students at International Leadership do not take the Pathway exam.
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## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION GOAL

International Leadership continues to exceed its gradation goals reflecting the commitment to supporting all students through differentiated instruction, extended school days, and after school tutoring.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Leading <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high <br> school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 <br> needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) <br> each year. | Met |
| Leading <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will score at least 65 on at least three different <br> New York State Regents exams required for graduation by the <br> completion of their second year in the cohort. | Approaching |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth-year high school <br> Total Graduation Cohort will graduate. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will graduate. | Met |
| Comparative | Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth <br> year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the school <br> district of comparison. | Exceeded |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort <br> pursuing an alternative graduation pathway will achieve a Regents <br> equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment <br> required for graduation by the end of their fourth year. | NA |
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## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

Each graduation 75 percent of our seniors will graduate college ready.

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate their preparation for college by at least one or some combination of the following indicators:

- Passing a college level course offered at a college or university or through a school partnership with a college or university;
- Achieving the college and career readiness benchmark on the SAT; or,
- Matriculating to a college
- Receiving 5 or more college acceptances


## METHOD

Schools use any method listed here, or any combination thereof, to demonstrate that at least 75 percent of graduates are prepared to engage in rigorous college level coursework. The school should select only those methods listed here that it uses to demonstrate the college readiness of its students and eliminate those that it will not. For instance, high schools that do not deliver an IB Program as part of their high school design do not report on the IB option. The school reports on the number of students who attempted to achieve each indicator, the number who succeeded, and the corresponding percentage. Additionally, the school should report on the overall number of students who graduated after four years, the number of those graduates who achieved any of the relevant measures, and the overall percentage achieving the measure.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

99\% of the 2015 Total Cohort graduates committed to enrolling in a college or university. We look forward to verifying matriculation through the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) database in the fall of 2019. As of April 2019, the NSC confirmed that $91 \%$ of 2014 Total Cohort students that graduated matriculated to a college or university.

As further evidence of International Leadership's commitment to prepare all students for their next stage of education, 9 of 10 SWD from the 2015 Total Cohort graduated with a Regents diploma and only one graduated with a Local Diploma. The one ELL graduate from the 2015 Total Cohort graduated with a Regents diploma. ${ }^{8}$
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Percentage of the 2015 Total Cohort Graduates Demonstrating College Preparation by Indicator

| Indicator | Number of <br> Graduates who <br> Attempted the <br> Indicator | Number who Achieved <br> Indicator | Percentage of Graduates <br> who Achieved Indicator |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matriculating to a <br> college. ${ }^{9}$ | 79 | 78 | $99 \%$ |
| Receiving 5 or more <br> college acceptance letters | 78 | 50 | $64 \%$ |
| Earning college course <br> credit through the <br> College Now Program | 79 | 14 | $18 \%$ |
| Overall | 79 | 78 | $99 \%$ |

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index ("CCCRI") for the school's Total Cohort will exceed the Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

## METHOD

The state's recently finalized ESSA plan includes a College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index that measures the rate of completion among the Total Cohort of a variety of indicators of readiness for the next step after high school. Indicators that are more rigorous and that are therefore more difficult to attain receive greater weight in the new CCCRI (e.g., attaining a Regents diploma and a score of 4 or higher on an IB exam). Conversely, some less rigorous indicators that were not included in the College and Career Readiness Index under the state's NCLB accountability system are included in the CCCRI (e.g., completion of a high school equivalency program). ${ }^{10}$

To achieve this measure, the school must have a CCCRI value that equals or exceeds the 2017-18 CCCRI MIP for all students. The state will calculate and disseminate the MIP in the summer of 2018. The CCCRI is calculated by multiplying the number of students in the cohort demonstrating college and career readiness by the weighting for the method by which the student demonstrated college and career readiness, divided by the number of students in the cohort. The highest possible CCCRI is 200 .

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

During the 2017-2018 school year, the state gave International Leadership a score of 93.8. This score fell short of the State MIP of 128. The CCCRI metric currently favors those schools that offer
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AP courses. While International Leadership is exploring the addition of an AP course in the $11^{\text {th }}$ grade, it does not currently offer such a course. Furthermore, it is unclear if the state assigned MIP accounted for students participation in the CUNY's College Now program. The program affords students the opportunity to take free college level courses for credit. In the 2017-2018 school year, $38 \%$ or 30 seniors participated in the College Now Program. $93 \%$ or 28 students who participated in the College Now program earned college credits, and 11 students earned college credits in two courses. This rate far exceeds the national pass rates on most AP tests. ${ }^{11}$ In June of 2019, 43 students or $56 \%$ of rising $12^{\text {th }}$ graders became eligible to take courses for college credit at Lehman College.

Even with the success of the College Now program, the School acknowledges the interest among $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students to access more courses for college credit. The School thus looks forward to exploring the possibility of adding an AP course to meet this interest and provide students additional exposure to college level course work.

CCCRI Performance by Cohort Year

| Graduation Year | Cohort | Number of <br> Students in <br> Cohort | MIP | School CCCRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2015-16$ | 2012 |  | NA |  |
| $2016-17$ | 2013 |  | NA |  |
| $2017-18$ | 2014 | 77 | 128 | 93.8 |

## GOAL 2: COMPARATIVE MEASURE

Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will exceed that of the district of comparison's Total Cohort.

## CCRI of Fourth-Year Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School | School District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | 93.8 | 96.9 |

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Even though the CCCRI for the 2014 cohort appears to be slightly smaller than the School District the International Leadership suspects that its College Enrollment and College Persistence rates are higher than neighboring schools.
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## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate into a college or university in the year after graduation.

## METHOD

The ultimate measure of whether a college prep high school has lived up to its mission is whether students actually enroll and succeed in college. Schools track and report the percentage of fourthyear Total Cohort graduates who matriculate into a two or four-year college program in the fall following graduation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The school leadership team and faculty take great pride in the school's College Prep program. In June of 2019, 100\% of graduates committed to enrolling in an institution of higher learning. 99\% of the graduating class committed to a two or four year college or university and one student committed to entering a trade program.

| Matriculation Rate of Graduates by Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | Number of <br> Graduates | Number Enrolled <br> in 2 or 4-year <br> Program in Fall <br> (b) | Matriculation Rate <br> $=[(b) /(a)] * 100$ |
| 2012 | (a) |  |  |
| 2013 | 55 | $50^{12}$ | $90 \%$ |
| 2014 | 76 | $75^{13}$ | $97 \%$ |
| 2015 | 78 | $77^{14}$ | $99 \%$ |

[^5]Historically, the Director of College Prep has worked with each student during the College Prep Seminar to help them submit applications and confirm enrollment. The leadership team and representatives from SUNY also speak with parents to help their children access grants, scholarships, and financial aid packages. However, even after students submit a deposit and transcripts to their new college, some students choose to wait to start college for financial or family related reasons.

To gain a more complete picture of enrollment, International Leadership recently began a relationship with the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to track and confirm alumni enrollment in college. The Board of Trustees and the leadership team understand the importance of measuring both college enrollment and persistence.

On average, the NSC data shows that between 2015 and 2018, 88 percent of International Leadership alumni enrolled in college during their first year after high school, which is higher than the 75 percent target established by accountability metrics. ${ }^{15}$

[^6]

INTERNATIONAL LEADERSHIP CHARTER SCHOOL
NATIONAL STUDENT
Report Run Date: $04 / 23 / 2019 \quad$ 11:45 AM
CLEARINGHOUSE

By isolating just the class of 2018, the NSC data confirmed that 91 percent of alumni enrolled in college during the first year after graduation, exceeding the target by 16 percentage points.
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The enrollment rate increases to 93 percent between 2015 and 2018 if the data is expanded to include students who enrolled during their second year after high school. International Leadership expects the two-year enrollment rate to increase above $91 \%$ for June 2018 graduates once the NSC database is updated in November.


AVG $=89 \%$
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The School Board and the leadership team view student persistence in college as a key indicator of the School's success in preparing students for college. Given that International Leadership began its relationship with National Student Clearinghouse in the spring of 2019, the above chart represents the school's first step to measure persistence. In the coming years, International Leadership will look to both persistence and college graduation to evaluate year over year trends and identify whether aspects of the College Prep program can be enhanced to improve persistence.

## Goal 2: Additional Absolute Measure

$75 \%$ of graduating students will be accepted to 5 or more colleges or universities.

## METHOD

Each year, the Director of College Prep will record the names of the colleges for which each student receives acceptances.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The 2015 cohort fell short of this additional measure by $11 \%$ points. Many of the students who did not meet the target received two, three, or four acceptances. The Director of College Prep will

## 2018-19 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

continue to work with $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students to ensure they have a range of colleges from which to choose.

Percentage of the 2015 Total Cohort Graduates Demonstrating College Preparation by Indicator

| Indicator | Number of <br> Graduates who <br> Attempted the <br> Indicator | Number who Achieved <br> Indicator | Percentage of Graduates <br> who Achieved Indicator |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receiving 5 or more <br> college acceptance letters | 78 | 50 | $64 \%$ |

## SUMMARY OF THE COLLEGE PREPARATION GOAL

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate <br> their preparation for college by one or more possible <br> indicators of college readiness. | MET |
| Absolute | Each year, the CCCRI for the school's Total Cohort will exceed <br> that year's state MIP set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | Approaching |
| Comparative | Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will exceed <br> that of the district's Total Cohort. | Approaching |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate <br> into a college or university in the year after graduation. | MET |
| Additional Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will be accepted <br> to 5 or more colleges or universities. | Approaching |

## ACTION PLAN

International Leadership's action plan includes, ongoing professional development and support for college prep advisors increased tracking and monitoring of student on time submission of applications and materials to the targeted colleges and universities. In addition, there will be a continued increase in the presentations of SUNY and private independent reach colleges. The school will also continue to explore the possibility of adding AP courses to offer more students access to courses for college credit.

## 2018-19 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

## GOAL 3: ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

## Goal 3: English Language Arts:

Each year 75> of students who sit for the NYS Regents exam in English Common Core will pass with a score of $>65 \%$.

## BACKGROUND

Ongoing professional development is provided to faculty to review all curriculum to assure that it is aligned with the Common Core standards and the New York State Regents examinations. There are rigorous Curriculum Mapping sessions that are based upon the Understanding by Design and Backwards planning methodology developed by Wiggins.

## Grade 11 English III Course Description

This course is aligned with the NYS English exam. This course continues to teach students to make connections between and among literature (fiction and non-fiction), and historical and current sources of information (newspapers, magazines, documentaries). Students identify and understand organizational patterns (i.e. cause-effect, problem-solution) and produce interpretations of literary and non-literary materials and documents, and learn test-taking strategies for successful outcomes on PSAT and SATs.

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered the Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core). This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

75\% of the 2015 Accountability Cohort scored at Level 4 and above, exceeding the target by 9 percentage points. This percentage is also 12 percentage points higher than Level 4 scores earned

## 2018-19 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

by the 2014 cohort. $42 \%$ of SWD in the 2015 Accountability Cohort scored at or above a Level $4 .{ }^{16}$ These results are a credit to teacher recruitment, academic coaching, Saturday Regents review sessions, and a reinforcement of high expectations.

## Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 on Regents English Common Core Exam <br> by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{17}$

| Cohort | Number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designation | Percent Scoring at Least Level <br> in on Comort <br> Percent Scoring at Least 75 if <br> student took the Regents <br> Comprehensive English <br> Exam) |  |
| 2013 | 55 | $13 \%^{18}$ |
| 2014 | 77 | $62 \%^{19}$ |
| 2015 | 83 | $75 \%^{20}$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

All students take the ELA Common Core exam at the end of their junior year of high school. In the chart below, the abbreviation "NA" is written during students' freshman and sophomore years. The table depicts the relative progress made by the 2016 Accountability Cohort. By the third year of high school, $74 \%$ of the 2016 Accountability Cohort scored at Level 4 or above on the ELA common core Regents exam. This is five percentage points higher than the percentage earned by the 2015 cohort in their third year of high school. In this same cohort, $67 \%$ of ELL and $45 \%$ of SWD who took the ELA Regents exam scored at or above Level 4 on the ELA Regents exam.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 |
| 2015 |  |  | 83 | $69 \%$ | 83 | $75 \%$ |
| 2016 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 72 | $74 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  | NA | NA |

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

[^7]
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Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The 2013, 2014, and 2015 cohorts each had over $80 \%$ of students score at Level 3 or above on their ELA Common Core exam by their fourth year. The 2015 cohort exceeded the 80\% goal by 18\%. $92 \%$ or 11 of 12 SWD scored a 65 or above. All SWD from the 2015 cohort scored at or above a 57.

## Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on Regents English Common Core Exam

by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{21}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent Scoring at Least Level <br> 3 on the Regents English <br> Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 55 | $87 \%^{22}$ |
| 2014 | 77 | $92 \%^{23}$ |
| 2015 | 83 | $98 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

As previously mentioned, students do not take the ELA common core exam until the end of their third year of high school. The abbreviation "NA" is written during students' freshman and sophomore years. By their third year, $85 \%$ of the 2016 cohort scored at Level 3 or above on the ELA Regents exam. This percentage surpasses the SUNY CSI's four year target by five percentage points. The percentage of students passing the ELA exam by their third year rises to a $94 \%$ when the students who did not sit for the exam are excluded. International Leadership is confident that the 2016 cohort pass rate will increase once more students sit for the exam in June of 2020.

| Cohort Designation | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number in Cohort | Percent Passing | Number in Cohort | Percent Passing | Number in Cohort | Percent Passing |
| 2015 |  |  | 83 | 89\% | 83 | 98\% |
| 2016 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 72 | 85\% |
| 2017 |  |  | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  | NA | NA |
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## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system. [RESEARCHED THIS AND THE MIP WAS NOT RELEASED]

## METHOD

The state's finalized and approved ESSA plan in 2018 includes a revised calculation of the high school Performance Index. In it, schools now receive additional credit for students scoring at Accountability Level $4 .{ }^{24}$ To achieve this measure, all tested students in the Accountability Cohort must have a PI that equals or exceeds the state's 2017-18 English language arts MIP for all students. The state plans to calculate and disseminate the MIP in summer 2018.

The Performance Index is calculated as such: (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 2) $+2^{*}$ (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 3) + $2.5^{*}$ (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 4). Thus, the highest possible PI is 250 . The basis for the percent of students is the school's fourth year Total Cohort for Graduation. The Regents Examination in English Language Arts (Common Core) is scored on a scale from 0 to 100; 0 to 64 is Accountability Level 1,65 to 78 is Accountability Level 2; 79 to 84 is Accountability Level 3, and 85 to 100 is Accountability Level 4.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The 2014 Total Cohort PI performance for English met the state MIP goal. The ongoing professional development of English faculty in differentiation and scaffolding strategies for instruction of SWD, ELLs (and former ELLs) as well as the implementation of 60/90 minute blocks has contributed to the positive student outcomes for this academic performance goal.

In 2013, there were 5 SWD (67\%) who graduated having passed this exam and scoring at Level 3. In addition, $94 \%$ of ELLs who graduated with a Regents Diploma.

## English Language Arts Performance Index (PI) <br> For the 2014 \& 2015 High School Accountability Cohort

| Number in <br> Cohort | Percent of Students at Each Accountability Level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| $2014 / 76$ | 5 | 37 | 23 | $35 * 2.5=$ PI 193 |
| $2015 / 83$ | 2 | 23 | 18 | $37=$ PI 201 |
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## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The percentage of the 2014 Total Cohort scoring at or above Level 4 exceeded CSD 10 by $8 \%$ points. International Leadership is confident that the 2015 cohort performance of $75 \%$ at Level 4 or above will also exceed the CSD average.

> Percent Achieving Performance Level 4 or Higher on English Regents
> of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent Level <br> 4 or 5 | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 or 5 | Number <br> in Cohort |
| 2012 | $42 \%^{25}$ | 49 | $38 \%$ | 4,098 |
| 2013 | $13 \%^{26}$ | 55 | $53 \%$ | 3,858 |
| 2014 | $62 \%^{27}$ | 77 | $54 \%$ | 3,911 |
| 2015 | $75 \%^{28}$ | 83 | NA | NA |

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective Total Cohort of students in the school district of comparison. In order to at least partially meet Common Core expectations, a student would need to pass the exam and score at Performance Level 3 or higher (i.e. scoring at least 65). Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.
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## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The percentage of the 2014 Total Cohort that scored at Level 3 or above exceeded CSD 10 by 16\%. With $98 \%$ of the 2015 cohort scoring Level 3 or above, the school expects to continue to provide the CSD \#10 community access to a rigorous ELA program.

## Percent Achieving Performance Level 3 or Higher on English Regents of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent Level <br> 3 or Higher | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number <br> in Cohort |
|  | $87 \%^{29}$ | 55 | $76 \%^{30}$ | 3858 |
| 2014 | $92 \%^{31}$ | 77 | $76 \%$ | 3,911 |
| 2015 | $98 \%$ | 83 | NA | NA |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

International Leadership Charter High School was pleased to see that the percentage of students passing the ELA Regents exam exceeded the CSD \#10 district by over 11 percentage points for the 2013 and 2014 cohorts.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Accountability Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The International Leadership ELA PI's of 193 for the 2014 cohort and 201 for the 2015 cohort exceeded CSD \#10 2014 cohort PI by over 30\%.
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# English Regents Performance Index (PI) ${ }^{32}$ <br> of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District 

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PI | Cohort <br> Size | PI | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  | 139 | 3,911 |
| 2014 | 193 | 77 | 142 | 3,958 |
| 2015 | 201 | 83 |  |  |

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Of the 36 students from the 2015 Accountability Cohort who scored less than Level 3 on the New York State 8th grade ELA exam, $58 \%$ scored at or above Level 4. This percentage exceeds the college readiness growth goal by $8 \%$. The 2015 cohort growth from $8^{\text {th }}$ grade to the $4^{\text {th }}$ year of high school was $22 \%$ higher than the 2014 cohort Level 4 gains. The targeted and differentiated support of students during the school day and during after school tutoring sessions helped the 2015 cohort achieve these gains.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on Common Core exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{33}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 4 <br> on Common Core exam (or <br> Scoring at Least 75 on the <br> Regents Comprehensive <br> English Exam) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 |  |  |
| 2014 | 36 | $36 \%$ |
| 2015 | 36 | $58 \%$ |
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## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for graduation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Of the 36 students from the 2015 Accountability Cohort who scored less than Level 3 on the New York State 8th grade ELA exam, $97 \%$ scored at or above Level 3 by their fourth year of high school. This exceeds the Level 3 college readiness goal by $22 \%$. The 2015 cohort growth from $8^{\text {th }}$ grade to the $4^{\text {th }}$ year of high school was $11 \%$ higher than the 2014 cohort Level 3 gains. The targeted support during the school day and during after school tutoring sessions helped the 2015 cohort achieve these gains.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on Common Core exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{34}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 3 on <br> Regents English Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |
| 2014 | $36^{35}$ | $86 \%$ |
| 2015 | 36 | $97 \%$ |
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## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS GOAL

International Leadership is pleased to see that over 91\% of students from the 2014 and 2015 cohorts scored at Level 3. The percentage of the 2014 cohort scoring at or above Level 3 exceeded the CSD \#10 Level 3 achievement by 16\%. Perhaps most impressive, 75\% of the 2015 Accountability cohort earned Level 4 or higher on the ELA Regents exam.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Partially Met ${ }^{36}$ |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state Measure of Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system. | Met ${ }^{37}$ |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students from the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations. | Partially Met ${ }^{38}$ |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations. | Met |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison. (Using 2017-18 school district results.) | Met |
| Growth | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Partially Met ${ }^{39}$ |
| Growth | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations | Met |

[^14]|  | (currently scoring at least Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in <br> English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth <br> year in the cohort. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## ACTION PLAN

To maintain advances with Level 4 achievement on the ELA regents exam, the leadership team will continue to meet with the ELA coach and ELA teachers on a quarterly basis to monitor students' progress and develop targeted and differentiated instructional plans that are responsive to the needs of the 2016 and 2017 cohorts. The ELA team will continue to set high standards for achievement in ELA.

## GOAL 4: MATHEMATICS

## Goal 4: Mathematics

75 percent of students in the graduation accountability cohort will pass the NYS Regents exams in mathematics.

## BACKGROUND

ILCHS has aligned its curriculum with the New York State Common Core standards. Our charter school delivers math content in 60-90 minutes blocks. Our faculty are trained in formative and summative assessments. During ongoing professional development, math faculty are provided instructional guidance and item analysis review periods.

## Mathematics Sequence

Grade 9 - Algebra/Geometry
Grade 10 - Algebra 2/Trigonometry
Grade 11 - Pre-Calculus
Grade 12 - Advanced Math Course

## Algebra I/Geometry Grade 9 Course Description

This course is aligned with the NYS Algebra Regents. The mathematics course for the ninth grade is a combination of Algebra I and Geometry. Project based units will enable the students to enhance their problem-solving skills. This course accelerates student proficiency and students become competent in the content needed to pass the Algebra Regents exams.

## HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on any Regents Common Core mathematics exams. ${ }^{40}$ This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

$33 \%$ of the 2015 cohort scored at or above Level 4 on the Algebra I Common Core Regents exam. This is $32 \%$ below the required target. Given that International Leadership starts in the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade, students arrive to the school with a range of skills in math and algebraic thinking.

The math coach will support the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade Algebra I teacher with data driven item analysis instructional support that will prepare more students for mastery. The Director of Instruction and the school CEO with work with the coach and the teacher on a bi-quarterly basis to monitor student progress and help in the creation of targeted instruction plans. All students will be encouraged to attend Saturday review sessions in the spring to ensure that more students achieve mastery levels.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Percent Scoring at Least Level } 4 \text { on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam } \\
\qquad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline \text { by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort }{ }^{41}\end{array} \\
\qquad \begin{array}{c}\text { Cohort } \\
\text { Designation }\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}\text { Number } \\
\text { in } \\
\text { Cohort }\end{array}\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{c}Percent Scoring at Least <br>

Level 4\end{array}\right]\)| 2013 | 55 | $32 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 77 | $33 \%{ }^{43}$ |
| 2015 | 83 |  |
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## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

International Leadership acknowledges that there is room and space for more students to achieve Level 4 and above. While relatively small, International Leadership is pleased that the percentage of first year students earning Level 4 or above increased from 17\% in June of 2018 to $19 \%$ in June of 2019.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2016-17$ |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 |
| 2015 |  |  | 83 | $33 \%$ | 83 | $33 \%$ |
| 2016 |  |  | 82 | $17 \%$ | 72 | $15 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  | 77 | $17 \%$ | 68 | $19 \%$ |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  | 108 | $19 \%$ |

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

International Leadership met the baseline goal of ensuring that $80 \%$ of students scored at or above Level 3 on the Algebra I cohort exam. Both the 2014 and 2015 cohorts exceeded this baseline performance goal by over 12 percentage points. $75 \%$ of SWD in the 2015 Accountability earned a score of 65 or above with all SWD scoring above at or above a 57.

## Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam <br> by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{44}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in <br> Cohort | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 on a Regents <br> Mathematics Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | 49 | $98 \%^{45}$ |
| 2013 | 55 | $87 \%^{46}$ |
| 2014 | 77 | $95 \%^{47}$ |
| 2015 | 83 | $93 \%^{48}$ |
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## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Of the first year Accountability students who took the Algebra I exam in 2018, 76\% earned a Level 3 or higher. The pass rate jumps to $79 \%$ if scores of 55 or higher are counted for SWD. This $76 \%$ pass rate is higher than the $71 \%$ pass rate for the first year 2016 cohort members. Those students who did not take or pass the Algebra I Regents exam will be encouraged to participate in after school tutoring and Saturday algebra review sessions.

71\% of 2016 Accountability Cohort SWD who took the Algebra I exam scored at a Level 3 or above, and $78 \%$ of 2017 Accountability Cohort SWD who took the exam scored at Level 3. However, only $47 \%$ of SWD from the 2018 cohort earned a score of 65 or above. The five SWD who scored at Level 1 will retake the exam in 2020. In June of 2019, 30\% of ELL students who took the Algebra I exam passed. With $100 \%$ of 2014 and 2015 cohort SWD scoring above a 55 and $75 \%$ scoring at or above a 65, International Leadership is committed to ensuring that SWD in the 2016, 2017, and 2018 cohorts receive the opportunity to master core competency skills in Algebra.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2016-17$ |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2015 |  |  | 83 | $86 \%$ | 83 | $93 \%$ |
| 2016 |  |  | 82 | $68 \%$ | 72 | $65 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  | 77 | $74 \%$ | 68 | $76 \%$ |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  | 108 | $69 \%$ |

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents mathematics exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

## METHOD

The state's finalized and approved ESSA plan in 2018 includes a revised calculation of the high school Performance Index. In it, schools now receive additional credit for students scoring at Accountability Level $4 .{ }^{49}$ To achieve this measure, all tested students in the Accountability Cohort must have a PI that equals or exceeds the state's 2017-18 mathematics MIP for all students. The state plans to calculate and disseminate the MIP in summer 2018.

The Performance Index is calculated as such: (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 2) $+2^{*}$ (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 3) $+2.5^{*}$ (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 4). Thus, the highest possible PI is 250 . The basis for the percent of students is
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the school's fourth year Total Cohort for Graduation. Regents Common Core mathematics exams are scored on a scale from 0 to 100; 0 to 64 is Accountability Level 1,65 to 79 is Accountability Level 2 ( 65 to 77 for Algebra II); 80 to 84 is Accountability Level 3 ( 78 to 84 for Algebra II), and 85 to 100 is Accountability Level 4.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The PI for the 2014 cohort was 138. This is close to the PI of 131 for the 2015 cohort. Both of these scores are above the Math School District MIP of 109 for the 2017-2018 school year and 105 for the 2016-17 school year. ${ }^{50}$

## Mathematics Performance Index (PI) <br> For the 2014 \& 2015 High School Accountability Cohort

| Number in <br> Cohort | Percent of Students at Each Accountability Level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| $2014 / 77$ | $5 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $14 \%^{51}$ |
| $2015 / 83$ | $7 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents-math exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The 2014 Total Cohort exceeded the CSD \#10 Level 4 scores by 11\%. The 2014 and 2015 Total Cohorts at International Leadership outperformed the 2013 cohort by over $10 \%$. 1 of 7 or $14 \%$ of ELL students from the 2014 Total Cohort earned a Level 4 score by their fourth year. While 3 of 4 SWD from the 2014 Total Cohort scored above at or above a 65, no students scored at or above an 80. This Level 4 achievement is on par with CSD 10 where only $3 \%$ of SWD and $2 \%$ of ELLs in the 2014 cohort earned a Level 4 or higher on the Algebra I Regents exam.

## Percent Achieving Performance Level 4 or Higher on a Mathematics Regents of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Level 4 or 5 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 or 5 | Number <br> in Cohort |
| 2013 | $22 \%$ | 55 | $27 \%$ | 3,858 |
| 2014 | $38 \%^{52}$ | 77 | $27 \%$ | 3,911 |
| 2015 | $33 \%$ | 83 | NA | NA |
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## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, students in the high school Total Cohort will meet or exceed Common Core expectations will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The percentage of the 2014 Total Cohort that scored at or above Level 3 on the Algebra I Common Core Regents exam exceeded CSD \#10 by 20\%. Additionally, 85\% of ELLs scored at or above a 65 on their Algebra I exam in 2014. This score exceeds the CSD \#10 measure by over 60\%. 75\% (3 of 4) SWD from the 2014 Total Cohort earned a Level 3 on their Algebra I Regents exam. This measure exceeded the $38 \%$ of SWD who passed the Algebra I Regents exam in CSD \#10.

The 2013 Total Cohort at International Leadership passed the NYS Algebra Regents exam and exceeded expectations outperforming the city and the state with $87 \%$ of students scoring at Level 3. Of notable performance are the results of the School's sub populations with $75 \%$ of SWD passing the Algebra I Regents exam at a Level 3 as compared with $43 \%$ of District 10.

Percent Achieving Performance Level 3 or Higher on a Mathematics Regents
of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number <br> in Cohort |
|  | $87 \%^{53}$ | 55 | $77 \%$ | 3,858 |
| 2014 | $955^{54}$ | 77 | $75 \%$ | 3,911 |
| 2015 | $93 \%$ | 83 | NA | NA |

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") in Regents mathematics of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Accountability Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.
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## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The PI for the 2014 cohort was 138. This is close to the PI of 131 for the 2015 cohort. Both of these scores are above the Math School District MIP of 109 for the 2017-2018 school year and 105 for the 2016-17 school year. ${ }^{55}$

Mathematics Regents Performance Index (PI) ${ }^{56}$
of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PI | Cohort <br> Size | PI | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2013 | 126 | 55 | 105 | 3,858 |
| 2014 | 138 | 77 | 109 | 3,911 |
| 2015 | 131 | 83 | NA | NA |

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to grow to meeting the mathematics requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Of the 49 students from the 2015 cohort who scored less than Level 3 on the New York State 8th grade Math exam, $14 \%$ scored at or above Level 4 by their fourth year of high school. This is well short of the $50 \%$ goal and $9 \%$ less than the 2014 cohort performance. A smaller percentage of the 2015 cohort experience growth in Algebra when using this $8^{\text {th }}$ grade metric. There will be focused support from the math coach to support teachers in increasing Level 4 achievement.
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Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{57}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 4 <br> on Common Core Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |
| 2014 | 36 | $25 \%$ |
| 2015 | 49 | $14 \%$ |

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to move to meeting the English requirement for graduation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Of the 49 students from the 2015 cohort who scored less than Level 3 on the New York State 8th grade Math exam, $90 \%$ scored at or above Level 3 by their fourth year of high school. This percentage exceeds the college readiness growth goal by $15 \%$.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{58}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 |  |  |
| 2014 | 36 | $97 \%$ |
| 2015 | 49 | $90 \%$ |

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS GOAL ${ }^{59}$

International Leadership is pleased to see that over 93\% of students from the 2014 and 2015 cohorts scored at Level 3. This 2014 cohort percentage was $20 \%$ higher than CSD \#10's Level 3 achievement. The School was also pleased to see that $90 \%$ of $8^{\text {th }}$ graders who did not pass the $8^{\text {th }}$
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grade Math Exam passed the Algebra I exam. However, International Leadership acknowledges that it must continue to work with the math coach and the Algebra I teacher to ensure that more students are on a path to mastery.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in mathematics of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state Measure of Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system. | Met lower MIP |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will exceed the percentage of comparable students from the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations. | Partially Met ${ }^{60}$ |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations. | Met |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents mathematics of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison. (Using 2017-18 school district results.) | Met |
| Growth | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |
| Growth | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at least Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Partially Met ${ }^{61}$ |

## ACTION PLAN

As stated earlier, the math coach, the Director of Instruction, and the School CEO will work to support the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade Algebra I teacher with data driven item analysis instructional support aimed at preparing more students for mastery. The Director of Instruction, the math coach, and the school
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CEO will meet on a bi-quarterly basis to assess the Algebra I teacher's progress in implementing targeted and differentiated instruction strategies.

## GOAL 5: SCIENCE

## Goal 5: Science

## 80 percent or greater of students in Accountability Cohort who sit for the Living Environment will meet or exceed expectation on the NYS Regents Exam.

## BACKGROUND

ILCHS has aligned its curriculum with the New York State Common Core standards. Our charter school delivers science content in 60-90 minutes blocks. Our faculty are trained in formative and summative assessments. During ongoing professional development, science faculty are provided instructional guidance and item analysis review periods.

## Science Sequence

Grade 9 - Living Environment
Grade 10 - Chemistry
Grade 11 - Physics
Grade 12 - Advanced Biology--Forensics

## Living Environment Grade 9 Course Description

The course is aligned with the NYS Living Environment Regents with additional laboratory investigations. Students have the opportunity to work on projects to achieve above and beyond the mandated curriculum in addition to completing the laboratories and intensive course work. Students will be prepared to succeed on the New York State Living Environment or Biology Regents as well as the SAT achievement test in Biology. Students participate in various projects including participation in the Annual Science Exhibit.

## HIGH SCHOOL SCIENCE

## Goal 5: Absolute Measure

Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents science exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

New York State schools administer multiple high school science assessments; current Regent exams are Living Environment, Earth Science, Chemistry and Physics. The school administered Living Environment. It scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100; students must score at least 65 to pass.

## 2018-19 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass any one of the Regents science exams by their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken a particular Regents science exam multiple times or have taken multiple science exams. Students have until the summer of their fourth year to pass a science exam.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

88\% of the 2015 Accountability Cohort earned a Level 3 or above on the Regents score. Of the 8 students who scored at Level $2,100 \%$ scored between a 60 and a $64.83 \%$ ( 10 of 12) SWD from the 2015 cohort earned Level 3 or above. The one ELL student also earned a Level 3 on the Living Environments exam.

## Science Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65

by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{62}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Passing <br> with a score of <br> 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 55 | $98 \%^{63}$ |
| 2014 | 77 | $96 \%^{64}$ |
| 2015 | 83 | $88 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

96\% of the 2014 Accountability Cohort students passed the Living Environment Regents exam. 87\% of the 2018 cohort members who took the Living Environment Regents exam earned a Level 3. The percentage listed in the percentage passing column drops to $70 \%$ when students who did not take the exam are included. International Leadership is optimistic that the newly hired Living Environment teacher will help increase the percentage of students who pass the Living Environment regents exam at the end of their first year in high school.

Science Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2016-17$ |  | $2017-18$ |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2014 |  |  | 77 | $96 \%^{65}$ |  |  |
| 2015 |  |  | 83 | $69 \%$ | 83 | $88 \%$ |
| 2016 |  |  | 82 | $68 \%$ | 72 | $67 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  | 77 | $97 \%$ | 68 | $85 \%$ |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  | 108 | $69 \%$ |
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## Goal 5: Comparative Measure

Each year, students in the high school Total Cohort passing a Regents LE exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Over 97\% of the 2013 \& 2014 ILCHS Total Cohorts passed the Living Environment Science exam. Each of these cohorts met state standards. ILCHS also surpassed the Bronx CSD \#10 pass rate by over $15 \%$ both years. $100 \%$ of Students with Disabilities (SWD) in the 2013 Total Cohort earned proficiency by scoring at or above $65 \%$. $86 \%$ of English Language Learners (ELL) scored at or above $65 \%{ }^{66}$ Tutoring sessions during the week and on Saturdays provide SWD and ELL students with additional guided practice and preparation for the Living Science Regents exam.

Science Regents Passing Rate
of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2012 | $100 \%^{67}$ | 49 | $73 \%$ | 3,911 |
| 2013 | $98 \%$ | 55 | $72 \%$ | 3,858 |
| 2014 | $97 \%$ | 77 | $62 \%$ | 4,616 |
| 2015 | $88 \%$ | 83 | NA | NA |
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## GOAL 6: SOCIAL STUDIES

## BACKGROUND U.S. HISTORY

Students take U.S. History during the $11^{\text {th }}$ grade. The majority of students take the U.S. History Regents exam at the end of their $11^{\text {th }}$ grade year.

## U.S. History and Government Course Description

This course is aligned with the NYS U.S. History exam. 11th grade students examine the history of the United States of America beginning with the pre-colonial cultures of the Native Americans through the present war on terrorism. This course covers how the United States government, constitution, and interpretation of the constitution have influenced the people, places, and events inside and outside the United States.

## Goal 6: Social Studies

Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents History Exams exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents U.S. History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

New York State administers two high school social studies assessments: U.S. History and Global History. In order to graduate, students must pass both of these Regents exams with a score of 65 or higher. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the two exams by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exams multiple times and have until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

$99 \%$ of students in the 2015 Accountability Cohort earned a score of 65 or above on the U.S. History Regents exam. This pass rate exceeded the school goal by 19 percentage points. Similar to the 2014 cohort, 100\% of 2015 Accountability Cohort ELL and SWD students scored above 65. 33\% of 2015 Accountability Cohort SWD students scored at Level four, or above which represented a 100\% increase when comparing SWD in the 2014 cohort.
U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65

## by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{68}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Passing with a <br> score of 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 55 | $98 \%$ |
| 2014 | 77 | $97 \%^{69}$ |
| 2015 | 83 | $99 \%^{70}$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Students do not take the U.S. History Regents exam until the end of their Junior year of high school. The abbreviation "NA" is written during students' freshman and sophomore years. Of the 2016 cohorts members who took the U.S. History Regents in June of 2019, 95\% scored above 65. 81\% of 2016 Accountability Cohort students who took the exam scored at Level 4 or above, a measure which exceeds the 2015 cohort Level 4 percentage by 14 percentage points.

## U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2016-17$ |  | $2017-18$ |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2015 |  |  | 83 | $94 \%$ | 83 | $97 \%$ |
| 2016 |  |  | NA | NA | 72 | $90 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  | NA | NA |

## Goal 6: Comparative Measure

Each year, 75 percent to students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents U.S. History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, school presents the most recently available district results.
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Similar to the Accountability cohort, $99 \%$ of the 2015 Total Cohort passed the U.S. History Regents exam with a score of 65 or above.

> U.S. History Passing Rate
> of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2012 | $91 \%$ | 49 | $71 \%$ | 4,098 |
| 2013 | $98 \%$ | 55 | $70 \%$ | 3,858 |
| 2014 | $97^{71}$ | 77 | $69 \%^{72}$ | 3,911 |
| 2015 | $99 \%$ | 83 | NA | NA |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

97\% of the 2014 Cohort at International Leadership scored at or above a Level 3 on the U.S. History Common Core Regents exam. The percentage of the 2014 that scored at Level 3 or above was $28 \%$ points higher than CSD \#10. Tutoring sessions during the week and on Saturday provide students with additional guided practice and preparation for the U.S. History Regents exam.

## BACKGROUND GLOBAL HISTORY

Students take Global History I in the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade and Global History II in the tenth grade. The majority of students take the Global History II course at the end of their tenth grade year.

## Grade 9

## Global History I

This is the introductory NYS Global History Regents course, typically completed in 3 semesters. Students enrolled in International Leadership complete this course two semesters. Throughout this course, students are involved with an interdisciplinary study of history, geography, language arts, literature, as well as elements in math and the physical and applied sciences. The literature component for Global Studies enhances and provides narratives to the people, places, events and cultures that are studied. Students base their investigation around themes and essential questions in order to begin their process of in-depth study.

## Grade 10

## Global History II

This course is aligned with the NYS Global History exam. Students are introduced to the historical social significance (Global History and Geography) of people, places, events, and cultures dating from the
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European Enlightenment to present day Middle East and global territories. Students study the causes and effects of developments, interactions, and achievements of the many cultures of the world in the elements of science, architecture, government, customs and traditions.

## Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exam multiple times, and had until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

## RESULTS

89\% of the students in the 2015 Accountability Cohort earned a score of 65 or above on the Global History Regents exam. This score surpasses the $80 \%$ school target by $9 \%$. 83\% (10/12) SWD from the Accountability Cohort scored Level 3 or higher on the Global History Regents exam. The one ELL student from the 2015 cohort also passed the Global History Regents exam.

## Global History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65

by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{73}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Passing with <br> a score of 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | 49 | $91 \%$ |
| 2013 | 55 | $85 \%$ |
| 2014 | 77 | $88 \%^{74}$ |
| 2015 | 83 | $89 \%$ |

## EVALUATION

The 2015 Accountability Cohort achieved a passing rate of 89\% on the Global Regents exam. This passing rate is consistent with the 2014 Accountability Cohort passing rate.
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Global History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2016-17$ |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2015 |  |  | 83 | $69 \%$ | 83 | $89 \%$ |
| 2016 | NA | NA | 82 | $78 \%$ | 72 | $63 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  | NA | NA | 68 | $87 \%$ |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  | NA | NA |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Students take the Global History Regents exam during the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade year. "NA" is labeled in the chart above for cohort that have yet to complete the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade. The percentage of 2017 cohort that passed the Global History Regents surpassed the 2016 performance by nearly $10 \%$.

## Goal 6: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents Global History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available district results.

## RESULTS

At least $88 \%$ of the students in the 2015 and 2014 Total Cohorts earned a score of 65 or above on the Global History Regents exam.

Global History Passing Rate of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort |
| 2011 | $93 \%$ | 60 | $65 \%$ | 4,178 |
| 2012 | $91 \%$ | 49 | $67 \%$ | 4,098 |
| 2013 | $85 \%$ | 55 | $61 \%$ | 3,858 |
| 2014 | $88 \%$ | 77 | $65 \%$ | 3,911 |
| 2015 | $89 \%$ | 83 | NA | NA |

## EVALUATION

The 2014 Total Cohort achieved a passing rate of $88 \%$ on the Global History Regents exam. This passing rate exceeded the performance of comparative Bronx District CSD \#10 by $23 \% .67 \%$ of 2013 Total Cohort SWD passed the Global History Regents exam and 57\% of 2013 Total Cohort ELL students passed the Global History Regents exam. The $83 \%$ SWD pass rate and the $100 \%$ ELL pass rate for the 2015 shows the relative progress that these populations have made in Global History achievement.

## GOAL 7: ESSA

Goal 7: The school is in good standing: the state has not identified the school for comprehensive or targeted improvement

Goal 7: Absolute Measure
Under the state's ESSA accountability. Under the state's ESSA accountability system, the school is in good standing: the state has not identified the school for comprehensive or targeted improvement.

## METHOD

Because all students are expected to meet the state's performance standards, the federal statute stipulates that various sub-populations and demographic categories of students among all tested students must meet the state standard in and of themselves aside from the overall school results. As New York State, like all states, is required to establish a specific system for making these determinations for its public schools, charter schools do not have latitude in establishing their own performance levels or criteria of success for meeting the ESSA accountability requirements. Each year, the state issues School Report Cards that indicate a school's status under the state accountability system.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

ILCHS is in good standing for each ESSA subgroup. Longer school days as well weekday and Saturday tutoring sessions ensure that students are prepared to meet ESSA standards.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

International Leadership earned the Accountability status of "Good Standing" standing the past three academic years.

Accountability Status by Year

| Year | Status |
| :---: | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | Good Standing |
| $2017-18$ | Good Standing ${ }^{75}$ |
| $2018-19$ | Good Standing |

[^28]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ NYC DOE's 2017-18 School Performance Dashboard, available online: https://tools.nycenet.edu/dashboard/\#dbn=84X347\&report type=HS\&view=City

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} 2016$ USCR $=49$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Consistent with internal \# sent via email from Dr. Ruiz Lopez
    ${ }^{4}$ https://data.nysed.gov/gradrate.php?year=2017\&instid=800000046274 (Bronx GEO District 10)
    ${ }^{5} 2018$ High School Submission
    ${ }^{6}$ https://data.nysed.gov/gradrate.php?year=2018\&instid=800000046274
    ${ }^{7} 2019$ High School Submission

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ Several other members of the 2015 Total Cohort were former ELLs.

[^3]:    ${ }^{9}$ Matriculation for the most recent 2015 cohort is measured by the percentage of graduates who commit to enrolling in a specific two or four year college.
    ${ }^{10}$ For more detail about the weighting of college readiness methods for calculation of the CCCRI, see page 64 of the state's finalized ESSA plan here: www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/essa/documents/nys-essa-plan-final-1-16-2018.pdf

[^4]:    ${ }^{11}$ https://secure-media.collegeboard.org/digitalServices/pdf/research/2018/Student-Score-Distributions-2018.pdf

[^5]:    ${ }^{12}$ L2RPT
    ${ }^{13}$ High School Submission Fall 2018
    ${ }^{14}$ High School Submission Fall 2019
    D1 Accountability Plan Progress Report International Leadership Charter High School

[^6]:    ${ }^{15}$ International Leadership suspects that the average percentage of students enrolling in college is slightly understated by the NSC. It is possible that alumni with two last names have their name stored in a different format in their college database, contributing to a discrepancy in reporting. It is also possible that in some circumstances, students with lower economic means decided to postpone their enrollment to a later date.

[^7]:    ${ }^{16}$ Only one ELL student was part of the 2015 Accountability Cohort. That student scored at Level 2 on the ELA.
    ${ }^{17}$ Students in the 2014 and 2015 high school Accountability Cohorts may have taken the Regents Comprehensive English exam. As such, for 2017-18 and 2018-19, the Institute will continue to count any student who achieved at least a scale score of 75 (the previous target for college and career readiness) on that exam as having met the target for this measure.
    ${ }^{18}$ https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2017\&createreport=1\&cohort=1 (Total Cohort source)
    ${ }^{19}$ High School Submission file. All 2014 cohort students took the Common Core.
    ${ }^{20}$ 2018-19 High School Submission file. All 2015 cohort students took the Common Core.
    D1 Accountability Plan Progress Report International Leadership Charter High School

[^8]:    ${ }^{21}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam
    ${ }^{22}$ https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2017\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    ${ }^{23} 2018$ High School Submission

[^9]:    ${ }^{24}$ For more details on the score ranges used to determine Accountability Levels as distinguished from Performance Levels, see
    www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/documents/2017RegentsScoreRangesforAnnualandAccountabilityReporting.pdf

[^10]:    ${ }^{25}$ https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2016\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    ${ }^{26}$ https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2017\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    ${ }^{27}$ Source: High School Submission
    ${ }^{28}$ Source: High

[^11]:    ${ }^{29}$ https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2017\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    ${ }^{30}$ https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000046274\&year=2017\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    ${ }^{31}$ High School Submission.

[^12]:    ${ }^{32}$ For an explanation of the procedure to calculate the school's PI, see page 12.
    ${ }^{33}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^13]:    ${ }^{34}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam
    ${ }^{35}$ See $20148^{\text {th }}$ Grade Final pivot doc.

[^14]:    ${ }^{36}$ The 2015 cohort met the measure, in contrast to the 2014 cohort, which missed the measure by $3 \%$.
    ${ }^{37}$ According to the state's accountability designations, International Leadership met the Lower Level MIP for the 2017-2018 school year. Internal 2015 cohort calculations show that International Leadership met the State Long Tem ELA Goal for the 2018-19 school year. http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/essa-accountability-designations
    ${ }^{38}$ The 2012, 2014, and 2015 cohorts all Exceeded CSD 10 with respect to Level 4 achievement. The 2013 cohort did not exceed CSD \#10 on this measure.
    ${ }^{39}$ The 2015 Cohort met the measure, but the 2014 cohort did not.
    D1 Accountability Plan Progress Report International Leadership Charter High School

[^15]:    ${ }^{40}$ Students in the 2014 and 2015 high school Accountability Cohorts may have taken the non-Common Core mathematics exams. As such, for 2017-18 and 2018-19, the Institute will continue to count any student who achieved at least a scale score of 80 (the previous target for college and career readiness) on that exam as having met the target for this measure.
    ${ }^{41}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a mathematics Regents exam
    ${ }^{42}$ Level 4 is 80 or higher. $38 \%$ was obtained through 2018 High School Submission doc.
    ${ }^{43}$ High School Submission 2019

[^16]:    ${ }^{44}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a mathematics Regents exam.
    ${ }^{45} \mathrm{https}: / /$ data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2016\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    ${ }^{46}$ https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2017\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    ${ }^{47} 2018$ High School Submission- $96 \%$ according to NYSED.
    https://data.nysed.gov/essa.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2018\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    ${ }^{48} 2019$ High School Submission
    D1 Accountability Plan Progress Report International Leadership Charter High School

[^17]:    ${ }^{49}$ For more details on the score ranges used to determine Accountability Levels as distinguished from Performance Levels, see www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/documents/2017RegentsScoreRangesforAnnualandAccountabilityReporting.pdf

    D1 Accountability Plan Progress Report International Leadership Charter High School

[^18]:    ${ }^{50}$ 2018-19 Accountability Status. See columns 68 and 69. http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/essa-accountability-designations
    ${ }^{51}$ High School Submission Excel doc.
    ${ }^{52}$ High School Submission

[^19]:    ${ }^{53}$ https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2017\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    542018 High School Submission

[^20]:    ${ }^{55}$ 2018-19 Accountability Status. See columns 68 and 69. http://www.nysed.gov/accountability/essa-accountability-designations
    ${ }^{56}$ For an explanation of the procedure to calculate the school's PI, see page 20.

[^21]:    ${ }^{57}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam
    ${ }^{58}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the mathematics Regents exam.
    ${ }^{59}$ If the school includes a middle school component, add these measures to the subject area goal for the younger grades.

[^22]:    ${ }^{60}$ The 2014 cohort Level 4 performance exceeded CSD \#10, however, the 2013 cohort did not.
    ${ }^{61}$ The 2014 and 2015, met this measure, but the 2013 cohort did not.

[^23]:    ${ }^{62}$ Based on the highest score for each student on any science Regents exam
    ${ }^{63}$ https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2017\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    ${ }^{64}$ Source: High School Submission Excel doc.
    ${ }^{65} 97 \%$ is high school submission \%

[^24]:    ${ }^{66}$ https://data.nysed.gov/essa.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2018\&createreport=1\&cohort=1
    ${ }^{67}$ https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?instid=800000059326\&year=2016\&createreport=1\&cohort=1

[^25]:    ${ }^{68}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a science Regents exam
    ${ }^{69} 2018$ High School Submission
    ${ }^{70} 2019$ High School Submission
    D1 Accountability Plan Progress Report International Leadership Charter High School

[^26]:    ${ }^{71} 2018$ High School Submission
    ${ }^{72}$ https://data.nysed.gov/essa.php?instid=800000046274\&year=2018\&createreport=1\&cohort=1

[^27]:    ${ }^{73}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a Regents exam
    742018 High School Submission
    D1 Accountability Plan Progress Report International Leadership Charter High School

[^28]:    ${ }^{75}$ http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/ESEADesignations.html
    D1 Accountability Plan Progress Report International Leadership Charter High School

